BC PLANT HEALTH CARE INC. Arborist Report

JOB NAME:	North Vancouver School District 44 20230710
RE:	Arborist Report for the Planning and Design Phase of Development
SITE:	Cloverley Elementary School 440 Hendry Avenue North Vancouver
PREPARED FOR:	North Vancouver School District 44 2121 Lonsdale Avenue North Vancouver, BC V7M 2K6

DATE:

September 26, 2023

PROJECT ARBORISTS:



18465 53rd AvenuePhone: 604-575-8727Surrey, BC, V3S 7A4Fax: 604-576-2972Email: info@bcplanthealthcare.com24 Hour Emergency Pager 604-607-1616



Keeping it **Green**... One Tree at a Time. [™]

Table of Contents

Summary	2
Introduction	3
Observations	4
Inventoried Tree Species by Quantity and Tag Number	5
Ownership of Inventoried Trees by Quantity and Tag Number	9
Condition of Inventoried Trees by Ownership, Species, Quantity and Tag Number	10
Suitability for Retention of Inventoried Trees by Quantity and Tag Number	13
Discussion and Recommendations	15
Outstanding Information	15
Temporary Preservation of High-Quality Trees Facing Development Threats Pending Info Review and Site Redesign	r mation 16
Advanced Tree Risk Assessment	16
Development Impacts	17
Development Conflict Type and Tree Species Data	17
Tree Management Recommendations by Condition, Species, Quantity and Tag Number	
Development Conflict by Tree Condition and Quantity	24
Tree Preservation Strategies	27
Tree Preservation Strategies Protecting Tree Roots	27 27
Tree Preservation Strategies Protecting Tree Roots Exploration of Root Types in the Context of Development Impacts	27 27 27
Tree Preservation Strategies Protecting Tree Roots Exploration of Root Types in the Context of Development Impacts Critical Root Zones for Structure and Health	27 27 27 28
Tree Preservation Strategies Protecting Tree Roots Exploration of Root Types in the Context of Development Impacts Critical Root Zones for Structure and Health Tree Protection Barriers.	27 27 27 28 29
Tree Preservation Strategies Protecting Tree Roots Exploration of Root Types in the Context of Development Impacts Critical Root Zones for Structure and Health Tree Protection Barriers Key Features of Tree Protection Barriers	27 27 27 28 29 29
Tree Preservation Strategies Protecting Tree Roots Exploration of Root Types in the Context of Development Impacts Critical Root Zones for Structure and Health Tree Protection Barriers Key Features of Tree Protection Barriers An Argument for Metal Construction Fencing in Tree Protection Barrier Construction for Responsibility:	27 27 27 28 29 29 Climate 30
Tree Preservation Strategies Protecting Tree Roots Exploration of Root Types in the Context of Development Impacts Critical Root Zones for Structure and Health Tree Protection Barriers Key Features of Tree Protection Barriers An Argument for Metal Construction Fencing in Tree Protection Barrier Construction for Responsibility: Arborist Oversight	27 27 27 28 29 29 Climate 30 31
Tree Preservation Strategies Protecting Tree Roots Exploration of Root Types in the Context of Development Impacts Critical Root Zones for Structure and Health Tree Protection Barriers Key Features of Tree Protection Barriers An Argument for Metal Construction Fencing in Tree Protection Barrier Construction for Responsibility: Arborist Oversight Table of Trees Requiring Arborist Oversight	27 27 27 28 29 29 29 Climate 30 31 32
Tree Preservation Strategies Protecting Tree Roots Exploration of Root Types in the Context of Development Impacts Critical Root Zones for Structure and Health Tree Protection Barriers Key Features of Tree Protection Barriers An Argument for Metal Construction Fencing in Tree Protection Barrier Construction for Responsibility: Arborist Oversight Table of Trees Requiring Arborist Oversight Canopy Pruning	27 27 27 28 29 30
Tree Preservation Strategies Protecting Tree Roots Exploration of Root Types in the Context of Development Impacts Critical Root Zones for Structure and Health Tree Protection Barriers Key Features of Tree Protection Barriers An Argument for Metal Construction Fencing in Tree Protection Barrier Construction for Responsibility: Arborist Oversight Table of Trees Requiring Arborist Oversight Canopy Pruning Table of Trees Requiring Pruning as part of the Demolition Process	27 27 27 28 29 29 29 Climate 30 31 32 32 32
Tree Preservation Strategies Protecting Tree Roots Exploration of Root Types in the Context of Development Impacts Critical Root Zones for Structure and Health Tree Protection Barriers. Key Features of Tree Protection Barriers An Argument for Metal Construction Fencing in Tree Protection Barrier Construction for Responsibility: Arborist Oversight Table of Trees Requiring Arborist Oversight Canopy Pruning Table of Trees Requiring Pruning as part of the Demolition Process Transplanting Acer cappadocicum	27 27 27 28 29 29 Climate 30 31 32 32 32 33
Tree Preservation Strategies Protecting Tree Roots Exploration of Root Types in the Context of Development Impacts Critical Root Zones for Structure and Health Tree Protection Barriers Key Features of Tree Protection Barriers An Argument for Metal Construction Fencing in Tree Protection Barrier Construction for Responsibility: Arborist Oversight Table of Trees Requiring Arborist Oversight Canopy Pruning Table of Trees Requiring Pruning as part of the Demolition Process Transplanting Acer cappadocicum Development Softening	27 27 27 28 29 29 29 29 Climate 30 31 31 32 32 32 32 33 33
Tree Preservation Strategies Protecting Tree Roots Exploration of Root Types in the Context of Development Impacts Critical Root Zones for Structure and Health Tree Protection Barriers Key Features of Tree Protection Barriers An Argument for Metal Construction Fencing in Tree Protection Barrier Construction for Responsibility: Arborist Oversight Table of Trees Requiring Arborist Oversight Canopy Pruning Table of Trees Requiring Pruning as part of the Demolition Process Transplanting Acer cappadocicum Development Softening General Construction Guidelines	27 27 27 28 29

Arborist Report for the Design Phase of Development Cloverley Elementary – 440 Hendry Avenue, City of North Vancouver

Conclusions	36
Pictures	43
Detailed Spreadsheet	57
Aerial Map of Inventoried Trees	61
Arborist Survey of Inventoried Trees – West	62
Arborist Survey of Inventoried Trees – East	63
Arborist Resource Evaluation – West	64
Arborist Resource Evaluation – East	65
Arborist Tree Protection Plan – West	66
Arborist Tree Protection Plan - East	67
Arborist Site Plan - West	58
Arborist Site Plan - East	59
Residual Forest	70
Qualitative Tree Risk Assessment Guidelines	71
Limitations of this Assessment	73

Summary

[223] trees were inventoried as part of this project.

[47] of the trees are owned by the City of North Vancouver. [176] of them are on-site.

Outstanding information that is required to inform and complete this report includes: the Site Servicing Plan, the Grading Plan and the Landscape Plan.

Based on current plans, there are [9] high value trees which will be examined for retention pending receipt of the aforementioned plans. Re-design of conflicts threatening these trees may be discussed. These trees are: #320, 321, 322, 330, 336, 956, 957, 958, and 959.

Advanced assessments are recommended for the following trees: #305 and #968.

[102] trees are recommended for removal. [119] trees are recommended for retention. [2] trees are recommended for re-location.

The following trees require arborist oversight as part of the tree preservation strategy: #320, 321, 322, 330, 336, 350, 956, 957, 958, 959, 980, 984, 993, H6, and H7.

The following trees require canopy pruning prior to demolition. These trees also require arborist oversight during demolition. #301, 302, 303, 304, 306, 307, 308 and 309.

Construction fencing is being recommended in lieu of traditional tree protection barriers .

Introduction

BC Plant Health Care Inc. has been contracted by **Exercise 1** The North Vancouver School District #44 to provide an initial arborist report for the planning and design phase of development at Cloverley Elementary, 440 Hendry Avenue, North Vancouver. The scope of work is to tag, inventory and assess on-site 'protected' trees and inventory off-site trees within proximity of the development area.

visited the

site on August 3rd and August 17th, 2023, and performed my fieldwork in accordance with ISA Best Management Practices for Managing Trees During Construction. I attached aluminum tree tags to all trees within proximity of the development area to serve as unique numeric identifiers to assist in communication regarding tree management. The Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) method was used to determine the structural integrity of trees using external symptoms of mechanical stress. No trees met the moderate risk reporting threshold given a 3-year time frame for risk assessment.

Tools used were:

- A diameter tape
- A mallet for testing soundness and fixing tree tags
- A camera for documenting findings
- Mobile device for recording my findings

This report includes:

- Tree inventory assessment and resource evaluation for on-site and off-site trees
- Tree risk assessment for on-site trees which pose moderate/high/severe risk
- Development Impact Assessment pertaining to on-site and off-site trees
- Photographs to document the assessment
- Aerial Map of Inventoried Trees
- Arborist Survey of Inventoried Trees (West)
- Arborist Survey of Inventoried Trees (East)
- Arborist Resource Evaluation (West)
- Arborist Resource Evaluation (East)
- Arborist Tree Protection and Removal Plan (West)
- Arborist Tree Protection and Removal Plan (East)
- Arborist Site Plan (West)
- Arborist Site Plan (East)
- Residual Forest

This report was completed on September 26, 2023.

Observations

The primary objective of this section is to provide a comprehensive assessment of the existing tree canopy within the context of the proposed development. This section of the arborist report serves as a detailed account of my on-site observations and analyses.

The observations herein are a result of a thorough inspection, considering various factors that encompass tree health, structural integrity, species diversity, and their potential impact on the proposed development. It is imperative to underline the significance of these observations as they form the basis for informed decision-making regarding the development project. By delving into the specific details of these trees, we can better understand their value, both ecologically and culturally. In doing so, we pave the way for the creation of development plans that respect and protect our natural heritage, while also meeting the evolving needs of this community.

The following tables contain a comprehensive breakdown of the trees on the development site, categorized by species, size, condition, and their potential impact on the project. These observations will provide valuable insights for the development team, helping to guide decisions that balance progress with the preservation of our precious arboreal resources. Through this report, I aim to facilitate a holistic approach to development that enhances the well-being of both our urban environment and the people it serves.



Inventoried Tree Species by Quantity and Tag Number					
Understanding the tree community and quantities of each tree species on this development site is					
pivotal. It guides sustainable planning by preserving valuable trees, assessing their impact on the					
project, and enhancing biodiversity. This knowledge ensures responsible development that					
harmonizes with nature, benefits the environment, and meets community expectations.					
Tree Species	Quantity	Tag Number			
Acer cappadocicum	3	331, 332, 333			
Acer cappadocicum, also kn	own as the	Cappadocian maple or Colchis maple, is a deciduous tree			
originating from the Caucas	us and nort	hern Iran. This medium-sized maple typically reaches heights			
of 12 to 18 meters (40 to 60) feet) and b	poasts striking, large, five-lobed leaves that transition from			
reddish-purple in spring to	deep green	in summer, culminating in vibrant autumnal hues of yellow,			
orange, or red. With a lifesp	oan of appro	oximately 50 to 75 years, this tree thrives in various soil types,			
making it a favored choice f	or ornamer	tal landscaping and urban settings, where its impressive			
foliage adds aesthetic charr	n and shade				
Acer macrophyllum	20	397, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 419, 420, 421, 426,			
		433, 435, 436, 443, 449, 940, 960, 968			
Acer macrophyllum, commo	only known	as the bigleaf maple, is a stately deciduous tree native to			
western North America. It r	eaches imp	ressive heights of 24 to 36 meters (80 to 120 feet) and features			
enormous, deeply lobed lea	ives measur	ing up to 30 centimeters (1 foot) in diameter. These leaves			
turn vibrant shades of yello	w or gold in	the fall, adding a burst of color to the landscape. Bigleaf			
maples typically have a lifes	pan of 80 to	o 100 years or more. These majestic trees are vital to their			
ecosystems, providing habit	tat and sust	enance for various wildlife species, including birds and insects.			
Acer palmatum	6	314, 315, 316, 345, 346, 347			
Acer palmatum, commonly	known as tl	ne Japanese maple, is a small to medium-sized deciduous tree			
native to Japan, Korea, and	China. It typ	pically grows to a height of 6 to 10 meters (20 to 33 feet) with a			
similar spread. Known for it	s exquisite,	palmate leaves that turn brilliant shades of red, orange, or			
yellow in the autumn, this t	ree is a favo	prite in gardens and landscapes. Japanese maples have a			
lifespan of approximately 5	0 to 100 yea	ars, depending on growing conditions and care. Their stunning			
foliage and graceful form m	ake them p	rized ornamental trees, adding beauty to gardens for			
generations.					
Acer platanoides	10	300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309			
Acer platanoides, commonl	y known as	the Norway maple, is a large deciduous tree native to Europe.			
It typically reaches a height of 20 to 30 meters (66 to 98 feet) with a canopy spread of about 15					
meters (49 feet). The Norway maple is recognized for its distinctive five-lobed leaves and yellow					
springtime flowers. These trees can live for approximately 150 to 250 years when conditions are					
favorable. Due to their adaptability and shade tolerance, they are frequently planted as ornamental					
trees and in urban environments. However, they can be invasive in certain regions, outcompeting					
native species.					
Acer saccharum	7	320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 327, 329			
Acer saccharum, commonly	[,] known as t	he sugar maple, is a stately deciduous tree native to North			
America. It typically reaches	s heights of	25 to 35 meters (82 to 115 feet) with a canopy spread of about			
12 to 15 meters (39 to 49 fe	eet). Sugar n	naples are prized for their brilliant fall foliage, which displays			
vibrant shades of red, orang	ge, and yello	ow. These trees have an impressive lifespan, often living for			
200 to 300 years when cond	ditions are o	optimal. They are well-known for their sap, used in maple syrup			
production. Sugar maples are iconic trees in North American forests and landscapes.					

Ailanthus altissima	2	325, 326				
Ailanthus altissima, commonly known as the tree of heaven, is a deciduous tree native to China but						
has become naturalized in various parts of the world. It typically grows to heights of 15 to 25 meters						
(49 to 82 feet) with a canopy spread of about 7 to 15 meters (23 to 49 feet). The tree of heaven has a						
relatively shorter lifespan co	relatively shorter lifespan compared to some other trees, averaging around 30 to 50 years. It's known					
for its rapid growth and ada	ptability to	various soil conditions. However, it's considered invasive in				
many regions and can disru	pt native ec	osystems due to its prolific seed production.				
Alnus rubra	65	78, 80, 81, 85, 311, 319, 395, 396, 398, 399, 401, 402, 410,				
		415, 423, 425, 428, 429, 432, 434, 438, 440, 441, 442, 444,				
		445, 446, 447, 448, 450, 451, 455, 460, 461, 462, 463, 464,				
		465, 466, 941, 942, 943, 944, 945, 946, 947, 948, 949, 950,				
		951, 952, 953, 954, 955, 961, 962, 963, 969, 970, 971, 972,				
		973, 983, 989, 998				
Alnus rubra, commonly kno	wn as the r	ed alder, is a deciduous tree native to western North America.				
It typically reaches heights	of 20 to 30 i	meters (65 to 98 feet) with a canopy spread of 12 to 18 meters				
(39 to 59 feet). Red alders h	ave a relati	vely short lifespan, typically living for about 40 to 60 years.				
They are known for their ra	pid growth,	nitrogen-fixing abilities, and adaptability to various soil types.				
Red alders play a crucial rol	e in refores	tation and streambank stabilization due to their ability to				
enrich soil quality. They are	an essentia	l component of Pacific Northwest ecosystems.				
Fagus sylvatica	2	996, 1000				
Fagus sylvatica, commonly	known as E	uropean beech, is a deciduous tree native to Europe. It can				
reach heights of 25 to 35 m	eters (82 to	115 feet) with a canopy spread of 10 to 15 meters (33 to 49				
feet). European beech trees	s have a rela	tively long lifespan, typically living for about 150 to 200 years,				
with some exceptional spec	imens reac	ning even older ages. They are known for their smooth gray				
bark, oval-shaped leaves wi	th wavy edg	ges, and the development of dense, low-hanging branches as				
they mature. European bee	ch trees are	important components of European forests and provide				
valuable timber.						
Fagus sylvatica	1	350				
Purpurea						
Fagus sylvatica 'Purpurea,'	commonly r	eterred to as Purple European Beech, is a cultivated variety of				
European beech known for	its striking	burple follage. This deciduous tree can grow to heights of 15 to				
25 meters (49 to 82 feet) w	ith a similar	canopy spread. The Purple European Beech has a similar				
lifespan to its parent specie	s, typically I	iving for about 150 to 200 years. Its standout feature is its				
deep purple leaves, which provide a vibrant contrast in landscapes. This cultivar is popular in						
ornamental plantings, parks	s, and garde	ns, adding a touch of rich color to the environment.				
Jugians cinerea	4	67, 68, 985, 994				
Jugians cinerea, commonly	KNOWN AS B	utternut or white Walnut, is a deciduous tree native to North				
America. It typically reaches heights of 15 to 24 meters (49 to 79 feet) and has a canopy spread of 9 to						
12 meters (30 to 39 teet). The Butternut tree has a moderate lifespan, typically living for around 60 to						
ou years. It is recognized by	its grayish-	brown bark, primatery compound leaves, and the production of				
bas lad to a decline in its pa	nulation ac	ross its range				
	1	310				
Malus fusca commonly kno		acific crabannle, is a small deciduous trop or large shrub native				
to western North America	it typically a	α conclusion and β is a small deciduous life of large smult half β				
similar canony spread Dacid	fic crabanal	as have a moderate lifesnan usually living for about 20 to 70				
vears They produce small	adible apple	es have a mouerale mespan, usually living for about 50 to 70				
years. They produce sillall,	earnie apple	as that are usually red of yellow. These trees are valued 101				

their wildlife habitat contribution, providing food for various animals. They thrive in moist, coastal					
regions and are part of the diverse ecosystem of the Pacific Northwest.					
Picea abies	2	84, 328			
<i>Picea abies,</i> commonly kno can reach impressive heigh 300 years. This majestic tre bearing needle-like leaves. construction and for makin climates, making it a popula parts of the world.	wn as the N ts of up to 5 e is characto The Norway g musical in ar choice for	orway spruce, is a tall, evergreen conifer native to Europe. It 5 meters (180 feet) and has a lifespan of approximately 200 to erized by its pyramid-shaped crown, with pendulous branches a spruce is a valuable timber species and is often used in struments. It adapts well to a variety of soil conditions and a reforestation efforts and ornamental landscaping in many			
Pinus nigra	9	341, 342, 343, 344, 348, 349, 995, 997, 999			
Pinus nigra, commonly kno species native to Europe. It lifespan ranging from 150 to of two long needles. Austria valuable choice for timber p make them a resilient and e	wn as the A typically rea o 250 years. an pines are production, enduring spe	ustrian pine or black pine, is a sturdy and long-lived conifer aches heights of 20 to 55 meters (66 to 180 feet) and has a . This evergreen tree features dark, fissured bark and clusters e well-suited to various soil types and climates, making them a windbreaks, and landscaping. Their longevity and adaptability eccies in forested landscapes across Europe and beyond.			
Pinus sylvestris	1	317			
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> , commonly Europe and Asia. It typically lifespan of approximately 1 bark with scaly plates and c climates, making them valu adaptability and resilience l forested regions. contributi	known as th grows to h 50 to 300 ye lusters of tv able for tim have establi ing to biodiv	he Scots pine, is a versatile and long-lived conifer native to eights ranging from 15 to 35 meters (49 to 115 feet) and has a ears. This evergreen tree is recognized by its orange-brown wo long needles. Scots pines thrive in various soil types and ber production, reforestation, and landscaping. Their shed them as a prominent and enduring species in many versity and ecosystem stability.			
Populus trichocarpa	48	69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 79, 82, 83, 86, 312, 313, 413, 414, 416, 424, 437, 452, 453, 454, 456, 457, 458, 939, 964, 965, 966, 967, 974, 975, 976, 977, 978, 979, 980, 981, 982, 984, 986, 987, 988, 990, 991, 992, 993			
Populus trichocarpa, comm deciduous tree native to we feet) and has a lifespan of a trunk, deeply fissured bark, found near water sources a habitat and food for various timber and pulpwood.	only known estern North pproximate and triangu nd play a cr s wildlife sp	as the black cottonwood or western balsam poplar, is a tall n America. It can reach heights of 30 to 50 meters (98 to 164 ely 70 to 100 years. This tree is recognizable by its straight alar leaves with toothed edges. Black cottonwoods are often rucial role in stabilizing riparian ecosystems. They provide ecies and have economic significance in the production of			
Prunus emarginata	12	400, 411, 412, 417, 418, 422, 427, 430, 431, 439, 459, 468			
Prunus emarginata, commo North America. It typically r about 20 to 50 years. The tr when ripe. Bitter cherries a This species also plays a rol such as making furniture ar habitat.	only known reaches heig ree is knowr re an impor e in reforest nd tools. Its	as the bitter cherry, is a deciduous tree native to western ghts of 4 to 15 meters (13 to 49 feet) and has a lifespan of n for its bitter-tasting cherries, which are dark red to black tant food source for wildlife, including birds and mammals. tation efforts and provides valuable wood for various uses, lifespan may vary depending on environmental conditions and			
Prunus laurocerasus	1	318			
Prunus laurocerasus, comm small tree native to regions	ionly known of Southea	as cherry laurel or English laurel, is an evergreen shrub or stern Europe and Western Asia. It can grow to heights of 3 to			

18 meters (10 to 59 feet) ar	nd has a lifes	span of approximately 20 to 50 years. The cherry laurel is					
prized for its dense, glossy foliage and fragrant white flowers, making it a popular choice for hedging							
and ornamental landscaping. However, it is important to note that all parts of this plant are toxic							
when ingested, posing a risk to both humans and animals. Its lifespan may vary based on							
environmental conditions a	environmental conditions and care.						
Prunus serrulata	4	337, 338, 339, 340					
'Kwanzan' Top Graft							
Top-grafted Prunus serrulat	a "Kwanzan	" is a stunning ornamental cherry tree that originates from					
Japan. It features beautiful	double-peta	aled pink blossoms in spring, creating a captivating display. This					
tree typically grows to a hei	ight of 3 to 4	4 meters (10 to 13 feet) with a similar spread. Flowering					
branches are grafted to a ro	oot stock to	give these trees their characteristic spread and dense					
flowering habit. With prope	er care, it ca	n live for approximately 20 to 50 years. The "Kwanzan" cherry					
is a popular choice for lands	scaping due	to its ornamental value and eye-catching floral show in the					
spring. It thrives in well-dra	ined soil and	d full sun, making it a beloved addition to gardens and public					
spaces in many parts of the	world.						
Pseudotsuga menziesii	5	467, 956, 957, 958, 959					
Pseudotsuga menziesii, com	imonly know	wn as Douglas fir, is a magnificent coniferous tree native to					
North America. It can reach	towering h	eights of 60 to 75 meters (197 to 246 feet) and has a lifespan					
of approximately 500 to 1,0	00 years. Th	nis iconic tree is characterized by its tall, straight trunk and					
slender branches adorned v	with needles	s. Douglas fir provides valuable timber and plays a crucial role					
in forest ecosystems. Its im	pressive lon	gevity and remarkable size make it a symbol of strength and					
endurance in the natural w	orld, while a	lso serving as a vital resource for various industries.					
Quercus robur	1	330					
Quercus robur, commonly k	nown as the	e English oak, is a majestic deciduous tree native to Europe and					
parts of Asia. It typically rea	iches height	s of 20 to 40 meters (66 to 131 feet) and boasts a lifespan of					
200 to 400 years, with some	e exceptiona	al specimens living even longer. This iconic oak species features					
a broad, spreading canopy,	deeply lobe	d leaves, and acorns that provide essential food for wildlife.					
English oaks are cherished f	or their stre	ength, durability, and cultural significance, often found in					
historic landscapes and fore	ests. They co	ontinue to be a symbol of resilience and longevity in the natural					
world.		1					
Robina pseudoacacia	1	336					
'Frisia'							
Robinia pseudoacacia 'Frisia	a,' also know	vn as the golden locust, is a striking ornamental tree renowned					
for its vibrant, golden-yellow foliage. This deciduous tree typically reaches heights of 10 to 15 meters							
(33 to 49 feet) and has a lifespan of approximately 30 to 50 years. 'Frisia' features pinnately							
compound leaves that emerge bright yellow in spring and mature to a rich green in summer. Clusters							
of fragrant, pea-like white flowers adorn the tree in late spring, followed by seed pods. This cultivar is							
prized for its stunning color	and ornam	ental value, making it a popular choice in landscaping and					
gardens.							
Salix babylonica	2	334, 335					
Salix babylonica, commonly	ⁱ known as t	he weeping willow, is a graceful deciduous free renowned for					
its distinctive pendulous bra	its distinctive pendulous branches that sweep toward the ground. Native to China, this tree can reach						
neights of 12 to 20 meters (heights of 12 to 20 meters (39 to 66 feet) and has a relatively short lifespan of about 30 to 50 years.						
its lance-snaped leaves are	green and t	urn yellow in the fall. In early spring, it produces small,					
millow is beloved for its als	give way to	smail, elongated capsules containing tiny seeds. The weeping					
winow is beloved for its ele	gant appear	ance and is often planted near water bodies due to its love for					
moisture.							

Thuja plicata	16	H1, H10, H11, H12, H13, H14, H15, H16, H2, H3, H4, H5, H6,						
		Н7, Н8, Н9						
<i>Thuja plicata,</i> commonly kn	Thuja plicata, commonly known as the Western Red Cedar, is a majestic evergreen conifer native to							
North America's Pacific Nor	thwest. This	s impressive tree can grow up to 60 meters (197 feet) in height,						
with a trunk diameter of 3 r	neters (10 f	eet) or more. It boasts scale-like leaves that are dark green and						
aromatic, releasing a pleasant scent when crushed. Western Red Cedars have a remarkable lifespan,								
often exceeding 800 years, making them one of the longest-lived tree species. Known for their rot-								
resistant wood, these trees have cultural significance among indigenous peoples and are valued for								
timber production and orna	timber production and ornamental landscaping.							
Grand Total		223						

Ownership of	wnership of Inventoried Trees by Quantity and Tag Number				
Understanding tree ownership on and around the development site is critical. It informs legal					
responsibilities, aiding in compliance with local regulations and minimizing potential conflicts. This					
knowledge ens	sures proper c	are and protection of trees, fostering cooperation among stakeholders			
and promoting	g sustainable d	evelopment in harmony with the existing tree community.			
Ownership	Quantity	Tag Numbers			
CNV	47	300, 301, 314, 315, 323, 324, 395, 396, 400, 401, 412, 413, 414, 415,			
		416, 417, 418, 424, 428, 429, 430, 431, 447,456, 458 ,459, 46, 462, 463,			
		465, 467, 468, H1, H10, H11, H12, H13, H14, H15, H16, H2, H3, H4, H5,			
		Н6, Н7, Н8, Н9			
	These trees	are subject to The Corporation of the City of North Vancouver Tree Policy			
	for the Mana	agement of Trees on City Property			
SD44	176	67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85,			
		86, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 316, 317,			
		318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333,			
		334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347,			
		348, 349, 350, 397, 398, 399, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409,			
		410, 411, 419, 420, 421, 422, 423, 425, 426, 427, 432, 433, 434, 435,			
		436, 437, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 448, 449, 450,			
		451, 452, 453, 454, 455, 457, 460, 464, 466, 939, 940, 941, 942, 943,			
		944, 945, 946, 947, 948, 949, 950, 951, 952, 953, 954, 955, 956, 957,			
		958, 959, 960, 961, 962, 963, 964, 965, 966, 967, 968, 969, 970, 971,			
		972, 973, 974, 975, 976, 977, 978, 979, 980, 981, 982, 983, 984, 985,			
		986, 987, 988, 989, 990, 991, 992, 993, 994, 995, 996, 997, 998, 999,			
		1000			
	These trees	are subject to The Corporation of The City of North Vancouver Bylaw No.			
	8888				
Grand Total	223				

Condition of Inventoried Trees by Ownership, Species, Quantity and Tag Number

Evaluating the condition of inventoried trees by ownership, species, quantity, and tag number is vital for informed development. It helps identify maintenance needs, health issues, and potential risks, ensuring responsible tree care. This knowledge supports effective tree management, minimizes liabilities, and promotes a safe and sustainable project environment.

Excellent

Excellent tree health refers to a state in which a tree exhibits vigorous growth, maintains its structural integrity, and is free from significant disease or pest infestations. Trees in excellent health typically have vibrant foliage, strong branches, and a robust root system, contributing to their overall resilience and longevity.

Ownership	Species	Quantity	Tag Number
SD44	Acer macrophyllum	6	404, 405, 407, 408, 421, 426
	Juglans cinerea	1	985
	Total		7

Good

Good tree health refers to a condition where a tree exhibits vitality, with healthy leaves or needles, strong branches, and an absence of major diseases or pests. A tree in good health is capable of normal growth and functions, contributing positively to its ecosystem and environment.

Ownership	Species	Quantity	Tag Number
CNV	Acer platanoides	1	301
	Acer saccharum	2	323, 324
	Total		3
Ownership	Species	Quantity	Tag Number
SD44	Acer cappadocicum	2	332, 333
	Acer macrophyllum	10	403, 406, 409, 420, 435, 436, 443, 449, 940,
			960
	Acer palmatum	2	346, 347
	Acer platanoides	7	302, 303, 304, 306, 307, 308, 309
	Acer saccharum	4	320, 321, 322, 329
	Ailanthus altissima	2	325, 326
	Alnus rubra	1	311
	Fagus sylvatica	2	996, 1000
	Fagus sylvatica	1	350
	'Purpurea'		
	Juglans cinerea	2	68, 994
	Populus trichocarpa	35	70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 79, 82, 83, 86,
			312, 313, 453, 964, 965, 966, 967, 974, 975,
			976, 977, 978, 980, 981, 982, 984, 986, 987,
			988, 990, 991, 992, 993
	Prunus emarginata	1	422
	Prunus laurocerasus	1	318
	Quercus robur	1	330
	Robina pseudoacia	1	336
	'Frisia'		
	Salix babylonica	1	335
	Total		73

Fair Fair tree health suggests that a tree is somewhat compromised, displaying signs of stress or minor issues such as leaf discoloration or minor pest damage. While it may not be thriving, a tree in fair health is still capable of survival with proper care and attention.

Ownership	Species	Quantity	Tag Number
CNV	Acer platanoides	1	300
	Populus trichocarpa	5	413, 414, 416, 456, 458
	Prunus emarginata	8	400, 412, 417, 418, 430, 431, 459, 468
	Thuja plicata	16	H1, H10, H11, H12, H13, H14, H15, H16, H2
			H3, H4, H5, H6, H7, H8, H9
	Total		30
Ownership	Species	Quantity	Tag Number
SD44	Acer cappadocicum	1	331
	Acer macrophyllum	4	397, 419, 433, 968
	Acer palmatum	2	316, 345
	Alnus rubra	11	78, 80, 85, 319, 442, 944, 961, 969, 971,
			983, 998
	Juglans cinerea	1	67
	Picea abies	2	84, 328
	Pinus nigra	9	341, 342, 343, 344, 348, 349, 995, 997, 999
	Pinus sylvestrus	1	317
	Populus trichocarpa	7	69, 437, 452, 454, 457, 939, 979,
	Prunus emarginata	3	411, 427, 439
	Prunus serrulata 'Kwanzan' Top Graft	4	337, 338, 339, 340
	Pseudotsuga menziesii	4	956, 957, 958, 959
	Total		49

are at	are at risk and require immediate attention and intervention to improve their chances of survival.						
	Ownership	Species	Quantity	Tag Number			
	CNV	Acer palmatum	2	314, 315			
		Alnus rubra	5	415, 461, 462, 463, 465			
		Populus trichocarpa	1	424			
		Pseudotsuga	1	467			
		menziesii					
		Total		9			
	Ownership	Species	Quantity	Tag Number			

	SD44	Acer platanoides	1	305
		Alnus rubra	14	410, 425, 441, 444, 445, 448, 450, 455, 460,
				466, 943, 962, 973, 989
		Malus fusca	1	310
		Salix babylonica	1	334
		Total		17
Dying				
Dying o	describes a tre	e in a critical state of de	cline, with w	videspread dead or dying branches, severe
pest or	disease infest	ations, and a minimal cl	nance of rec	overy. Urgent action is usually needed, and
the tre	e may be beyo	nd saving. The tree may	/ be in the la	st stages of life.
	Ownership	Species	Quantity	Tag Number
	CNV	Alnus rubra	4	396, 428, 429, 447
		Total		4
	Ownership	Species	Quantity	Tag Number
	SD44	Alnus rubra	20	398, 399, 423, 434, 440, 451, 464, 942, 945,
				946, 947, 948, 949, 951, 952, 953, 954, 955,
				963, 972
		Total		20
Dead				
A dead	tree is one that	at has ceased all biologi	cal functions	s and is no longer living.
	Ownership	Species	Quantity	Tag Number
	CNV	Alnus rubra	2	395, 401
		Total		2
	Ownership	Species	Quantity	Tag Number
	SD44	Acer saccharum	1	327
		Alnus rubra	8	81, 402, 432, 438, 446, 941, 950, 970
		Total		9
Grand	Total		223	
2				

Suitability for Retention of Inventoried Trees by Quantity and Tag Number

Assessing the suitability for retention of inventoried trees (categorized as good, moderate, or poor) within the development context is crucial. It guides decisions on tree preservation, ensuring the conservation of valuable species, maintaining biodiversity, and aligning with project goals. Quantity and species diversity in each category inform sustainable development practices, striking a balance between progress and environmental stewardship.

Good

When a tree has "good suitability for retention" on a development site, it implies that the tree possesses characteristics, such as robust health, structural integrity, and compatibility with the development's layout, which make it a prime candidate for preservation. Retaining such trees can enhance biodiversity, aesthetics, and ecological value within the project while meeting development goals.

Species	Quantity	Tag Number
Acer cappadocicum	2	332, 333
Acer macrophyllum	11	403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 435, 436, 443, 449, 940
Acer palmatum	2	346, 347
Acer platanoides	1	308
Acer saccharum	4	320, 321, 322, 329
Fagus sylvatica	2	996, 1000
Fagus sylvatica	1	350
'Purpurea'		
Juglans cinerea	3	68, 985, 994
Quercus robur	1	330
Robina pseudoacia	1	336
'Frisia'		
Total		28

Moderate

When a tree exhibits "moderate suitability for retention" on a development site, it suggests that while the tree has some value and potential for preservation, it may have moderate health, structural, or compatibility issues. Careful evaluation is needed to determine if retention is feasible, weighing its benefits against project objectives and potential risks.

	Species	Quantity	Tag Number
	Acer macrophyllum	7	397, 409, 419, 420, 421,426, 960
	Acer palmatum	2	316, 345
	Acer platanoides	6	302, 303, 304, 306, 307, 309
	Picea abies	1	84
	Pinus nigra	9	341, 342, 343, 344, 348, 349, 995, 997, 999
	Prunus emarginata	1	422
	Prunus laurocerasus	1	318
	Pseudotsuga	4	956, 957, 958, 959
	menziesii		
	Total		31
Poor			
\ tree	with "poor suitability fo	r retention"	on a development site indicates that the tree has

significant health, structural, or compatibility issues, making its preservation impractical. Removal or

replacement is likely necessary to ensure safety, meet project goals, and mitigate potential risks	
associated with retaining the tree.	

	Species	Quantity	Tag Number
	Acer cappadocicum	1	331
	Acer macrophyllum	2	433, 968
	Acer platanoides	1	305
	Acer saccharum	1	327
	Ailanthus altissima	2	325, 326
	Alnus rubra	54	78, 80, 81, 85, 311, 319, 398, 399, 402, 410, 423, 425, 432,
			434, 438, 440, 441, 442, 444, 445, 446, 448, 450, 451, 455,
			460, 464, 466, 941, 942, 943, 944, 945, 946, 947, 948, 949,
			950, 951, 952, 953, 954, 955, 961, 962, 963, 969, 970, 971,
			972, 973, 983, 989, 998
	Juglans cinerea	1	67
	Malus fusca	1	310
	Picea abies	1	328
	Pinus sylvestrus	1	317
	Populus trichocarpa	42	69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 79, 82, 83, 86, 312, 313,
			437, 452, 453, 454, 457, 939, 964, 965, 966, 967, 974, 975,
			976, 977, 978, 979, 980, 981, 982, 984, 986, 987, 988, 990,
			991, 992, 993
	Prunus emarginata	3	411, 427, 439
	Prunus serrulata	4	337, 338, 339, 340
	'Kwanzan' Top Graft		
	Salix babylonica	2	334, 335
	Total		116
Grand	Grand Total 175		

Discussion and Recommendations

In the pursuit of progress and development, communities often find themselves at a crossroads where the preservation of nature clashes with the necessity for growth and innovation. This delicate equilibrium is perhaps nowhere more evident than in the realm of urban planning and redevelopment projects, where the preservation of our natural surroundings must coexist with the evolving needs of our society. The information in this section delves into a complex and sensitive facet of the proposed school redevelopment project – the protection and removal of a number of trees, a decision that we anticipate may elicit concerns and resistance from the public, stakeholders, and environmental advocates alike.

Our commitment to addressing these concerns and, in turn, facilitating a constructive dialogue is at the heart of this report. We acknowledge the special significance of the trees in the vicinity of the project site and recognize the gravity of the decision to remove some of them. Therefore, it is imperative that we approach this discussion with utmost transparency, professional practice, and empathy.

The following sections provide a comprehensive assessment of the reasons necessitating tree removals, emphasizing our unwavering dedication to environmental stewardship. We will also outline the mitigating measures and compensatory actions planned to minimize the impact of these removals on the local ecosystem. It is our sincere hope that this discussion will serve as a bridge to understanding and collaboration between all stakeholders, fostering an atmosphere where informed decisions can be made in the best interests of both the community and the environment.

The issues surrounding tree removals in sensitive communities are multifaceted, intertwining environmental, aesthetic, and social concerns. As such, our approach must be holistic, guided by a deep understanding of the ecological intricacies involved and a genuine commitment to balancing the demands of the present with the preservation of the future.

Outstanding Information

In the process of assessing the proposed school redevelopment project's impact on the local environment, it becomes evident that information is the cornerstone of informed decision-making. This section highlights critical data and knowledge gaps that necessitate further investigation. While we have diligently collected extensive information, it is essential to recognize that knowledge is dynamic. These gaps are not indicative of limitations but rather a commitment to transparency and continual improvement. This section invites collaboration and input from stakeholders, emphasizing our dedication to thorough research and the shared pursuit of knowledge to refine our approach and make informed decisions. As information becomes available, please provide the following documents:

- Site Servicing Plan
- Grading Plan
- Landscape Plan

Missing site servicing plans, grading plans, and landscape plans can have a substantial impact on specimen tree retention or removal recommendations. These plans are crucial for understanding how development or landscaping activities may affect the root systems, soil conditions, and overall health of the trees. Without this information, we have limited insight into the potential disruptions or alterations

to the tree's environment, making it challenging to assess risks accurately. In such cases, a cautious approach that leans towards tree preservation is often advisable, as removing specimen trees without a complete understanding of the site's impact can result in the loss of valuable assets and ecosystem benefits. High-quality trees facing threats from development and meeting the criteria for preservation, subject to constraints on development, are being temporarily retained pending a thorough review of the missing information and potential site redesign to ensure their protection. These [9] trees include:

Temporary Preservation of High-Quality Trees Facing Development Threats Pending					
Inform	ation Review and Si	te Redesign			
Tree #	Species	Diameter	Condition	Suitability for	Rationale
		(cm)		Retention	
320	Acer saccharum	65	Good	Good	Conflict with site access
321	Acer saccharum	52	Good	Good	Conflict with site access
322	Acer saccharum	61	Good	Good	Conflict with site access
330	Quercus robur	29	Good	Good	Conflict with parking
336	Robina pseudoacia	49	Good	Good	Conflict with parking
	'Frisia'				
956	Pseudotsuga	50	Fair	Moderate	Conflict with site access
	menziesii				
957	Pseudotsuga	73	Fair	Moderate	Conflict with site access
	menziesii				
958	Pseudotsuga	67	Fair	Moderate	Conflict with site access
	menziesii				
959	Pseudotsuga	64	Fair	Moderate	Conflict with site access
	menziesii				

Other unknowns are the City of North Vancouver's tree risk management program, which may result in the removal of several inventoried trees. This will have an impact on tree preservation, permitting, and replacement tree quantities.

Additionally, we do not yet have plans for managing the retaining walls adjacent to the existing school.

Advanced Tree Risk Assessment

There are [2] trees which have no conflict with the development, however, are in proximity to it. In an effort to lower the bulk volume of tree removals, it is recommended to use diagnostic equipment to examine the structural integrity of these trees prior to retention.

Trees R	Trees Requiring Advanced Assessments Prior to Management Recommendations						
Tree #	Species	Diameter (cm)	Condition	Maintenance			
305	Acer platanoides	82	Poor	Provide advanced assessment at root collar to determine likelihood of failure.			
968	Acer macrophyllum	90	Fair	Provide advanced assessment to determine likelihood of failure.			

Development Impacts

This section scrutinizes how the proposed development could affect local trees and vegetation. Here, we assess the potential influence of the various components of the development and site alterations will have on tree health and stability. This information is vital for a comprehensive evaluation that helps weigh tree removals against development components, all while considering community needs and safety concerns, guiding decisions to strike a balance between urban growth and the preservation of ecological assets.

Development Conflict Type and Tree Species Data

This table serves as a comprehensive reference, offering crucial context for tree removal decisions. It associates distinct conflict types with particular tree species, quantifying their presence and correlating each with unique tag numbers. This data attaches a precise tree quantity value to each development component, enabling informed and balanced choices regarding the preservation and removal of trees within the context of ongoing development activities.

Canopy conflict with machinery

Canopy conflict with machinery refers to challenges arising from heavy equipment near trees, potentially causing damage to branches, roots, and overall tree health during construction or landscaping activities. Pruning has been recommended, however constructability requirements may conflict, necessitating removal.

0,	Species	Quantity	Tag Numbers
1	Acer platanoides	8	301, 302, 303, 304, 306, 307, 308, 309
	Total		8

Conflict with building envelope

Conflicts with the building envelope requiring removal signify challenges during construction where trees cannot coexist with the structure. Removing such trees is necessary, as their proximity threatens the building's integrity and cannot be resolved through pruning, impacting the urban forest composition.

Species	Quantity	Tag Numbers
Populus trichocarpa	5	71, 72, 73, 74, 79
Salix babylonica	2	334, 335
Total		7

Conflict with demolition

Conflict with the demolition of the existing school raises concerns during the construction process, as it may result in the removal of trees. These conflicts typically cannot be resolved through pruning and can lead to tree loss, impacting the surrounding environment.

	Species	Quantity	Tag Numbers			
	Alnus rubra	1	311			
	Malus fusca	1	310			
	Populus trichocarpa	2	312, 313			
	Total		4			
6	Conflict with parking					

Conflict with parking

Conflict with parking arises when the need for parking spaces clashes with the preservation of trees. This often leads to the removal of trees to accommodate parking requirements, impacting greenery and potentially causing urban heat island effects.

Species	Quantity	Tag Numbers
Acer cappadocicum	1	331

	Ouronouro no hum	1	220			
	Quercus robur	1	330			
	Robina pseudoacia	1	336			
	Frisia					
_	Total		3			
C	onflict with pathway					
C	onflict with pathway cons	truction occu	irs when the need for new pathways or walkways conflicts			
w	ith the preservation of ex	isting trees. 7	This often necessitates the removal of trees to create clear			
pathways, which can impact the aesthetics and natural elements of the area.						
	Species	Quantity	Tag Numbers			
	Alnus rubra	2	78, 989			
	Juglans cinerea	1	67			
	Populus trichocarpa	15	69,70, 75, 76, 77, 82, 980, 982, 984, 987, 988, 990, 991,			
			992, 993			
	Thuja plicata	4	Н4, Н5, Н6, Н7			
	Total		22			
C	onflict with play area					
C	onflict with play areas aris	ses when the	establishment or expansion of recreational spaces conflicts			
w	ith the preservation of tre	ees. This may	require tree removal to make space for play equipment or			
st	ructures, impacting both	tree cover ar	nd recreational opportunities. It is particularly crucial to			
as	ssess regarding tree risk, g	given the occ	upancy primarily by children. Balancing safety concerns with			
tr	ee preservation becomes	, paramount i	n these settings to ensure a secure and enjoyable			
e	nvironment for young occ	upants.				
	Species	Quantity	Tag Numbers			
	Alnus rubra	9	442, 444, 445, 448, 450, 451, 941, 942, 943			
	Total	-	9			
	lotal 9					
C	onflict with play field		Conflict with play field			
C	onflict with play field	v field arises	when the need for such open spaces conflict with preserving			
Co Co tr	onflict with play field onflict with the gravel pla ees. In some cases, trees	y field arises may need to	when the need for such open spaces conflict with preserving be removed to make way for the play field, impacting			
Co Co tr	onflict with play field onflict with the gravel pla ees. In some cases, trees reepery and the aesthetic	y field arises may need to s of the area	when the need for such open spaces conflict with preserving be removed to make way for the play field, impacting Anticipating the needs and safety of occupants, especially			
Co Co tr gr	onflict with play field onflict with the gravel pla ees. In some cases, trees reenery and the aesthetic pildren, is crucial in manage	y field arises may need to s of the area.	when the need for such open spaces conflict with preserving be removed to make way for the play field, impacting Anticipating the needs and safety of occupants, especially servation while planning for the play field.			
Co tr gr ch	onflict with play field onflict with the gravel pla ees. In some cases, trees reenery and the aesthetic nildren, is crucial in manag	y field arises may need to s of the area. ging tree pres Quantity	when the need for such open spaces conflict with preserving be removed to make way for the play field, impacting Anticipating the needs and safety of occupants, especially servation while planning for the play field.			
Co tr gr cł	onflict with play field onflict with the gravel pla ees. In some cases, trees reenery and the aesthetic hildren, is crucial in manag Species	y field arises may need to s of the area. ging tree pres Quantity 1	when the need for such open spaces conflict with preserving be removed to make way for the play field, impacting Anticipating the needs and safety of occupants, especially servation while planning for the play field. Tag Numbers			
Co tr gr ch	onflict with play field onflict with the gravel pla ees. In some cases, trees reenery and the aesthetic nildren, is crucial in manag Species Acer macrophyllum Acer nalmatum	y field arises may need to s of the area. ging tree pres Quantity 1	when the need for such open spaces conflict with preserving be removed to make way for the play field, impacting Anticipating the needs and safety of occupants, especially servation while planning for the play field. Tag Numbers 420 316			
Co Cr gr ch	onflict with play field onflict with the gravel plates. In some cases, trees reenery and the aesthetic hildren, is crucial in manage Species Acer macrophyllum Acer palmatum	y field arises may need to s of the area. ging tree pres Quantity 1 1 2	when the need for such open spaces conflict with preserving be removed to make way for the play field, impacting Anticipating the needs and safety of occupants, especially servation while planning for the play field. Tag Numbers 420 316 410, 973			
Cu Cu tr gr ch	onflict with play field onflict with the gravel pla ees. In some cases, trees reenery and the aesthetic hildren, is crucial in manag Species Acer macrophyllum Acer palmatum Alnus rubra	y field arises may need to s of the area. ging tree pres Quantity 1 1 2 1	when the need for such open spaces conflict with preserving be removed to make way for the play field, impacting Anticipating the needs and safety of occupants, especially servation while planning for the play field. Tag Numbers 420 316 410, 973			
Cu tr gr ch	onflict with play field onflict with the gravel pla ees. In some cases, trees reenery and the aesthetic hildren, is crucial in manag Species Acer macrophyllum Acer palmatum Alnus rubra Pinus sylvestrus	y field arises may need to s of the area. ging tree pres Quantity 1 1 2 1 1	when the need for such open spaces conflict with preserving be removed to make way for the play field, impacting Anticipating the needs and safety of occupants, especially servation while planning for the play field. Tag Numbers 420 316 410, 973 317			
Cu tr gr ch	onflict with play field onflict with the gravel play ees. In some cases, trees reenery and the aesthetic hildren, is crucial in manage Species Acer macrophyllum Acer palmatum Alnus rubra Pinus sylvestrus Populus trichocarpa	y field arises may need to s of the area. ging tree pres Quantity 1 1 2 1 1 1	when the need for such open spaces conflict with preserving be removed to make way for the play field, impacting Anticipating the needs and safety of occupants, especially servation while planning for the play field. Tag Numbers 420 316 410, 973 317 974			
Co Co tr gr ch	onflict with play field onflict with the gravel pla ees. In some cases, trees reenery and the aesthetic nildren, is crucial in manag Species Acer macrophyllum Acer palmatum Alnus rubra Pinus sylvestrus Populus trichocarpa Prunus emarginata	y field arises may need to s of the area. ging tree pres Quantity 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1	when the need for such open spaces conflict with preserving be removed to make way for the play field, impacting Anticipating the needs and safety of occupants, especially servation while planning for the play field. Tag Numbers 420 316 410, 973 317 974 411			
Co Co tr gr ch	onflict with play field onflict with the gravel pla ees. In some cases, trees reenery and the aesthetic hildren, is crucial in manag Species Acer macrophyllum Acer palmatum Alnus rubra Pinus sylvestrus Populus trichocarpa Prunus emarginata Prunus laurocerasus	y field arises may need to s of the area. ging tree pres Quantity 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	when the need for such open spaces conflict with preserving be removed to make way for the play field, impacting Anticipating the needs and safety of occupants, especially servation while planning for the play field. Tag Numbers 420 316 410, 973 317 974 411 318			
Cu Cu tr gr ch	onflict with play field onflict with the gravel plates. In some cases, trees reenery and the aesthetic hildren, is crucial in manage Species Acer macrophyllum Acer palmatum Alnus rubra Pinus sylvestrus Populus trichocarpa Prunus emarginata Prunus laurocerasus Total	y field arises may need to s of the area. ging tree pres Quantity 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	when the need for such open spaces conflict with preserving be removed to make way for the play field, impacting Anticipating the needs and safety of occupants, especially servation while planning for the play field. Tag Numbers 420 316 410, 973 317 974 411 318 8			
Cu Cu ch Cu	onflict with play field onflict with the gravel pla ees. In some cases, trees reenery and the aesthetic nildren, is crucial in manag Species Acer macrophyllum Acer palmatum Alnus rubra Pinus sylvestrus Populus trichocarpa Prunus emarginata Prunus laurocerasus Total	y field arises may need to s of the area. ging tree pres Quantity 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1	when the need for such open spaces conflict with preserving be removed to make way for the play field, impacting Anticipating the needs and safety of occupants, especially servation while planning for the play field. Tag Numbers 420 316 410, 973 317 974 411 318 8			
Cu Cu Cu Cu Cu Cu	onflict with play fieldonflict with the gravel playees. In some cases, treesreenery and the aestheticnildren, is crucial in manageSpeciesAcer macrophyllumAcer palmatumAlnus rubraPinus sylvestrusPopulus trichocarpaPrunus emarginataPrunus laurocerasusTotalonflict with PMTonflicts arising from the p	y field arises may need to s of the area. ging tree pres Quantity 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	when the need for such open spaces conflict with preserving be removed to make way for the play field, impacting Anticipating the needs and safety of occupants, especially servation while planning for the play field. Tag Numbers 420 316 410, 973 317 974 411 318 8 ement of a pad-mounted transformer within the project			
Cu tr gr ch Cu Si	onflict with play field onflict with the gravel plates. In some cases, trees reenery and the aesthetic onlidren, is crucial in manage Species Acer macrophyllum Acer palmatum Alnus rubra Pinus sylvestrus Populus trichocarpa Prunus emarginata Prunus laurocerasus Total onflict with PMT onflicts arising from the p	y field arises may need to s of the area. ging tree pres Quantity 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	when the need for such open spaces conflict with preserving be removed to make way for the play field, impacting Anticipating the needs and safety of occupants, especially servation while planning for the play field. Tag Numbers 420 316 410, 973 317 974 411 318 8 ement of a pad-mounted transformer within the project			
Cu tr gr ch Cu Si	onflict with play field onflict with the gravel play ees. In some cases, trees reenery and the aesthetic hildren, is crucial in manage Species Acer macrophyllum Acer palmatum Alnus rubra Pinus sylvestrus Populus trichocarpa Prunus emarginata Prunus laurocerasus Total onflict with PMT onflicts arising from the p te. Species	y field arises may need to s of the area. ging tree pres Quantity 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 roposed plac Quantity	when the need for such open spaces conflict with preserving be removed to make way for the play field, impacting Anticipating the needs and safety of occupants, especially servation while planning for the play field. Tag Numbers 420 316 410, 973 317 974 411 318 8 ement of a pad-mounted transformer within the project Tag Numbers			
Cu cr cr cr cr Cu si	onflict with play field onflict with the gravel play ees. In some cases, trees reenery and the aesthetic nildren, is crucial in manage Species Acer macrophyllum Acer palmatum Alnus rubra Pinus sylvestrus Populus trichocarpa Prunus emarginata Prunus laurocerasus Total onflict with PMT onflicts arising from the p te. Species Alnus rubra	y field arises may need to s of the area. ging tree pres Quantity 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	when the need for such open spaces conflict with preserving be removed to make way for the play field, impacting Anticipating the needs and safety of occupants, especially servation while planning for the play field. Tag Numbers 420 316 410, 973 317 974 411 318 8 ement of a pad-mounted transformer within the project Tag Numbers 441			
Cu cr cr cr cr Cu cr cr Cu cr	onflict with play field onflict with the gravel play ees. In some cases, trees reenery and the aesthetic nildren, is crucial in manage Species Acer macrophyllum Acer palmatum Alnus rubra Pinus sylvestrus Populus trichocarpa Prunus laurocerasus Total onflict with PMT onflicts arising from the p te. Species Alnus rubra	y field arises may need to s of the area. ging tree pres Quantity 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 voposed plac Quantity 1 1	when the need for such open spaces conflict with preserving be removed to make way for the play field, impacting Anticipating the needs and safety of occupants, especially servation while planning for the play field. Tag Numbers 420 316 410, 973 317 974 411 318 8 ement of a pad-mounted transformer within the project Tag Numbers 441 453			

Conflict with site access

Tree conflicts with site access and driveways in the context of school development refer to challenges arising when existing trees obstruct the planned construction or layout of access roads and driveways, necessitating tree removal to facilitate safe and efficient traffic flow within the school premises.

Species	Quantity	Tag Numbers
Acer macrophyllum	4	435, 436, 449, 940
Acer palmatum	3	345, 346, 347
Acer saccharum	4	320, 321, 322, 329
Ailanthus altissima	1	326
Alnus rubra	14	447, 455, 944, 945, 946, 947, 948, 949, 950, 951, 952, 953,
		954, 955
Picea abies	1	328
Pinus nigra	4	341, 342, 343, 344
Populus trichocarpa	6	452, 454, 456, 457, 458, 939
Prunus serrulata	2	339, 340
'Kwanzan' Top Graft		
Pseudotsuga menziesii	4	956, 957, 958, 959
Total		43

Conflict with tennis court removal

Removing a retaining wall above a tennis court near a tree poses challenges. It requires careful planning to prevent soil destabilization, tree root damage, and potential harm to the tree's health and stability during the process.

	, , ,			
	Species	Quantity	Tag Numbers	
	Fagus sylvatica	1	350	
	'Purpurea'			
	Total		1	
-				

Conflict with Safety - Dead/dying tree

Dead or dying trees near development pose safety risks. Their instability and potential for falling branches or trunks could harm people or damage property, necessitating their removal to ensure safety.

	Species	Quantity	Tag Numbers		
	Acer saccharum 1		327		
	Alnus rubra 19		81, 395, 396, 398, 399, 401, 402, 423, 428, 429, 432, 434,		
			438, 440, 446, 464, 963, 970, 972		
Total			20		

No Conflict

The following trees are not anticipated to pose conflicts with development. Their location, size, and growth patterns are conducive to coexistence with the planned construction, minimizing the need for removal or significant adjustments.

Species	Quantity	Tag Numbers
Acer macrophyllum	15	397, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 419, 421, 426, 433,
		443, 960, 968
Acer palmatum	2	314, 315
Acer platanoides	2	300, 305
Acer saccharum	2	323, 324

Ailanthus altissima	1	325		
Alnus rubra	15	85, 319, 415, 460, 461, 462, 463, 465, 466, 961, 962, 969,		
		971, 983, 998		
Fagus sylvatica	2	996, 1000		
Juglans cinerea	3	68, 985, 994		
Picea abies	1	84		
Pinus nigra	5	348, 349, 995, 997, 999		
Populus trichocarpa	18	83, 86, 413, 414, 416, 424, 437, 964, 965, 966, 967, 975,		
		976, 977, 978, 979, 981, 986		
Prunus emarginata	11	400, 412, 417, 418, 422, 427, 430, 431, 439, 459, 468,		
Prunus serrulata	2	337, 338		
'Kwanzan' Top GraftPseudotsuga menziesii1				
		467		
Thuja plicata	12	H1, H10, H11, H12, H13, H14, H15, H16, H2, H3, H8, H9,		
Total		92		

Tree Suitable for re-location

The following trees are eligible for relocation within the development site. Their size, species, and adaptability make them suitable candidates for preservation through transplantation, ensuring their continued contribution to the site's landscape.

Species	Quantity	Tag Numbers
Acer cappadocicum	2	332, 333
Total		2

Will not survive site change

The trees listed here are not expected to withstand the alterations to the site brought about by development. These changes, which encompass shifts in hydrology and wind patterns, present insurmountable challenges to their survival.

	Species Quantity		Tag Numbers
	Alnus rubra	2	80, 425
	Total		2
G	rand Total		223

Tree Management Recommendations by Condition, Species, Quantity and Tag Number

This comprehensive table distinctly delineates the condition of trees designated for removal and underscores the condition of trees recommended for retention. This emphasis on contrasting tree conditions facilitates a comprehensive and transparent decision-making process concerning the management of these natural assets, ensuring that the removal and retention choices align with the overall goals and objectives of the project or site.

Trees Recommended for Removal

Good

Good tree health refers to a condition where a tree exhibits vitality, with healthy leaves or needles, strong branches, and an absence of major diseases or pests. A tree in good health is capable of normal growth and functions, contributing positively to its ecosystem and environment

Species	Quantity	Tag Numbers
Acer macrophyllum	5	420, 435, 436, 449, 940

	Acer palmatum	1	347
	Acer saccharum	1	329
	Ailanthus altissima	1	326
	Alnus rubra	1	311
	Populus trichocarpa	20	70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 79,82,
			312, 313, 453, 974, 982, 987, 988,
			990, 991, 992
	Prunus laurocerasus	1	318
	Salix babylonica	1	335
	TOTAL		31
air	·		•
Fair t mino	ree health suggests that a tree is som r issues such as leaf discoloration or r	ewhat compr ninor pest daı	omised, displaying signs of stress or mage. While it may not be thriving, a
tree i	n fair health is still capable of surviva	l with proper	care and attention.
	Species	Quantity	Tag Numbers
	Acer cappadocicum	1	331
	Acer palmatum	2	316, 345
	Alnus rubra	4	78, 80, 442, 944
	Picea abies	1	328
	Pinus nigra	2	342, 344
	Pinus sylvestrus	1	317
	Populus trichocarpa	7	69, 452, 454, 456, 457, 458, 939
	Prunus emarginata	1	411
	Prunus serrulata 'Kwanzan' Top	2	339, 340
	Graft		
	Thuja plicata	2	H4, H5
	TOTAL	·	23
Poor			
Poor issue Trees their	tree health indicates a tree's weaken s such as wilting, dead branches, extens in poor health are at risk and require chances of survival.	ed condition, nsive pest or immediate a	often characterized by significant disease damage, and overall decline. ttention and intervention to improve
		Quantity	Tag Numbers
	Species	Quantity	Tag Numbers 410 425 441 445 448 450
	Alnus rubra	Quantity 11	Tag Numbers 410, 425, 441, 444, 445, 448, 450, 455, 042, 072, 080
	Alnus rubra	Quantity 11	Tag Numbers 410, 425, 441, 444, 445, 448, 450, 455, 943, 973, 989
	Species Alnus rubra Malus fusca Salix habulanica	Quantity 11 1	Tag Numbers 410, 425, 441, 444, 445, 448, 450, 455, 943, 973, 989 310
	Species Alnus rubra Malus fusca Salix babylonica	Quantity 11 1 1 1	Tag Numbers 410, 425, 441, 444, 445, 448, 450, 455, 943, 973, 989 310 334
	Species Alnus rubra Malus fusca Salix babylonica TOTAL	Quantity 11 1 1	Tag Numbers 410, 425, 441, 444, 445, 448, 450, 455, 943, 973, 989 310 334 13
Dyinį	Species Alnus rubra Malus fusca Salix babylonica TOTAL	Quantity 11 1 1	Tag Numbers 410, 425, 441, 444, 445, 448, 450, 455, 943, 973, 989 310 334 13
Dying Dying	Species Alnus rubra Malus fusca Salix babylonica TOTAL g g describes a tree in a critical state of re pest or disease infestations, and a read and the tree may be beyond and the tree may beyo	Quantity 11 1 decline, with y minimal chance	Tag Numbers 410, 425, 441, 444, 445, 448, 450, 455, 943, 973, 989 310 334 13
<mark>Dyin</mark> ູ Dyinູ sever need	Species Alnus rubra Malus fusca Salix babylonica TOTAL g g describes a tree in a critical state of re pest or disease infestations, and a red, and the tree may be beyond savir Salix babylonica	Quantity 11 1 decline, with minimal chance og. The tree m	Tag Numbers 410, 425, 441, 444, 445, 448, 450, 455, 943, 973, 989 310 334 13 widespread dead or dying branches, ce of recovery. Urgent action is usually ay be in the last stages of life. Tag Numbers
<mark>)yin</mark> ք ວying ever າeed	Species Alnus rubra Malus fusca Salix babylonica TOTAL g g describes a tree in a critical state of re pest or disease infestations, and a red, and the tree may be beyond savir Species Alnus rubra	Quantity 11 1 decline, with minimal chance og. The tree m Quantity	Tag Numbers 410, 425, 441, 444, 445, 448, 450, 455, 943, 973, 989 310 334 13 widespread dead or dying branches, ce of recovery. Urgent action is usually ay be in the last stages of life. Tag Numbers 200, 200, 200, 422, 420, 421
Dying Dying sever need	Species Alnus rubra Malus fusca Salix babylonica TOTAL g g describes a tree in a critical state of re pest or disease infestations, and a red, and the tree may be beyond savir Species Alnus rubra	Quantity 11 1 1 decline, with value minimal chance ng. The tree m Quantity 24	Tag Numbers 410, 425, 441, 444, 445, 448, 450, 455, 943, 973, 989 310 334 13 widespread dead or dying branches, ce of recovery. Urgent action is usually ay be in the last stages of life. Tag Numbers 396, 398, 399, 423, 428, 429, 434, 440, 447, 451, 464, 242, 245, 245, 245,

				947, 948, 949, 951, 952, 953, 954,
				955, 963, 972
		TOTAL		24
	Dead			
	A dea	d tree is one that has ceased all biolog	gical function	s and is no longer living.
		Species	Quantity	Tag Numbers
		Acer saccharum	1	327
		Alnus rubra	10	81, 395, 401, 402, 432, 438, 446, 941, 950, 970
		TOTAL		11
	Total	Removed Trees		102
Trees R	Recomr	nended for Retention		
	Excel	ent		
	Excell struct health to the	ent tree health refers to a state in whi ural integrity, and is free from signific n typically have vibrant foliage, strong ir overall resilience and longevity.	ich a tree exh ant disease c branches, ar	nibits vigorous growth, maintains its or pest infestations. Trees in excellent nd a robust root system, contributing
		Species	Quantity	Tag Numbers
		Acer macrophyllum	6	404, 405, 407, 408, 421, 426
		Juglans cinerea	1	985
		TOTAL		7
	Good			
	needl capab enviro	es, strong branches, and an absence only of normal growth and functions, content	of major disea	ases or pests. A tree in good health is ositively to its ecosystem and
		Species	Quantity	Tag Numbers
		Acer macrophyllum	5	403, 406, 409, 443, 960
		Acer palmatum	1	346
		Acer platanoides	8	301, 302, 303, 304, 306, 307, 308, 309
		Acer saccharum	5	320, 321, 322, 323, 324
		Ailanthus altissima	1	325
		Fagus sylvatica	2	996, 1000
		Fagus sylvatica 'Purpurea'	1	350
		Juglans cinerea	2	68, 994
		Populus trichocarpa	15	83, 86, 964, 965, 966, 967, 975, 976, 977, 978, 980, 981, 984, 986, 993
		Prunus emarginata	1	422
		Quercus robur	1	330
		Robina pseudoacia 'Frisia'	1	336
		TOTAL	L	43
	Fair			
	Fair tı minoi	ree health suggests that a tree is some rissues such as leaf discoloration or m	what compr inor pest dar	omised, displaying signs of stress or nage. While it may not be thriving, a

tree in fair health is still capable of survival with proper care and attention.

	Species	Quantity	Tag Numbers		
	Acer macrophyllum	4	397, 419, 433, 968		
	Acer platanoides	1	300		
	Alnus rubra	7	85, 319, 961, 969, 971, 983, 998		
	Juglans cinerea	1	67		
	Picea abies	1	84		
	Pinus nigra	7	341, 343, 348, 349, 995, 997, 999		
	Populus trichocarpa	5	413, 414, 416, 437, 979		
	Prunus emarginata	10	400, 412, 417, 418, 427, 430, 431, 439, 459, 468		
	<i>Prunus serrulata</i> 'Kwanzan' Top Graft	2	337, 338		
	Pseudotsuga menziesii	4	956, 957, 958, 959		
	Thuja plicata	14	H1, H10, H11, H12, H13, H14, H15, H16, H2, H3, H6, H7, H8,		
			H9		
	TOTAL	•	55		
Poor					
issue Trees their	s such as wilting, dead branches, exter in poor health are at risk and require chances of survival.	nsive pest or o immediate a	disease damage, and overall decline. ttention and intervention to improve		
	Species	Quantity	Tag Numbers		
	Acer palmatum	2	314, 315		
	Acer platanoides	1	305		
	Alnus rubra	8	415, 460, 461, 462, 463, 465, 466, 962		
	Populus trichocarpa	1	424		
	Pseudotsuga menziesii	1	467		
	TOTAL		13		
Total	Retained Trees		119		
Trees Recom	mended for Transplanting				
Good					
Good	Good tree health refers to a condition where a tree exhibits vitality, with health				
need	les, strong branches, and an absence o	of major disea	ases or pests. A tree in good health is		
capal	ble of normal growth and functions, co	ontributing po	ositively to its ecosystem and		
envir	onment	.			
	Species	Quantity	Tag Numbers		
	Acer cappadocicum	2	332, 333		
	TOTAL		2		

Development Conflict by Tree Condition and Quantity

This table evaluates potential conflicts between the school replacement development and existing trees based on condition and quantity. It is intended to examine the condition and quality of trees that conflict with various components of the development. It highlights areas of concern, aiding informed decisions to harmonize development and tree preservation.

Canopy conflict with machinery

Canopy conflict with machinery refers to challenges arising from heavy equipment near trees, potentially causing damage to branches, roots, and overall tree health during construction or landscaping activities.

Condition	Tag Number	Quantity
Good	301, 302, 303, 304, 306, 307, 308, 309	8
Total		8

Conflict with building envelope

Conflicts with the building envelope requiring removal signify challenges during construction where trees cannot coexist with the structure. Removing such trees is necessary, as their proximity threatens the building's integrity and cannot be resolved through pruning, impacting the urban forest composition.

Condition	Tag Number	Quantity
Good	71, 72, 73, 74, 79, 335	6
Poor	334	1
Total		7

Conflict with demolition

Conflict with the demolition of the existing school raises concerns during the construction process, as it may result in the removal of trees. These conflicts typically cannot be resolved through pruning and can lead to tree loss, impacting the surrounding environment.

Condition	Tag Number	Quantity
Good	311, 312, 313,	3
Poor	310	1
Total		4

Conflict with parking

Conflict with parking arises when the need for parking spaces clashes with the preservation of trees. This often leads to the removal of trees to accommodate parking requirements, impacting greenery and potentially causing urban heat island effects.

Condition	Tag Number	Quantity
Good	330, 336	2
Fair	331	1
Total		3

Conflict with pathway

Conflict with pathway construction occurs when the need for new pathways or walkways conflicts with the preservation of existing trees. This often necessitates the removal of trees to create clear pathways, which can impact the aesthetics and natural elements of the area.

Condition	Tag Number	Quantity
Good	70, 75, 76, 77, 82, 980, 982, 984, 987, 988, 990, 991, 992, 993	14
Fair	67, 69, 78, H4, H5, H6, H7	7
Poor	989	1
Total		22

Conflict with play area

Conflict with play areas arises when the establishment or expansion of recreational spaces conflicts with the preservation of trees. This may require tree removal to make space for play equipment or structures, impacting both tree cover and recreational opportunities. It is particularly crucial to assess regarding tree risk, given the occupancy primarily by children. Balancing safety concerns with tree preservation becomes paramount in these settings to ensure a secure and enjoyable environment for young occupants.

Condition	Tag Number	Quantity
Fair	442	1
Poor	444, 445, 448, 450, 943	5
Dying	451, 942	2
Dead	941	1
Total		9

Conflict with play field

Conflict with the gravel play field arises when the need for such open spaces conflicts with preserving trees. In some cases, trees may need to be removed to make way for the play field, impacting greenery and the aesthetics of the area. Anticipating the needs and safety of occupants, especially children, is crucial in managing tree preservation while planning for the play field.

Condition	Tag Number	Quantity
Good	318, 420, 974	3
Fair	316, 317, 411	3
Poor	410, 973	2
Total		8

Conflict with PMT

Conflicts arising from the proposed placement of a pad-mounted transformer within the project site.

	Condition	rag indiriber	Quantity
	Good	453	1
	Poor	441	1
	Total		2
-	(11		

Conflict with site access

Tree conflicts with site access and driveways in the context of school development refer to challenges arising when existing trees obstruct the planned construction or layout of access roads and driveways, necessitating tree removal to facilitate safe and efficient traffic flow within the school premises.

	Condition	Tag Number	Quantity
	Good	320, 321, 322, 326, 329, 346, 347, 435, 436, 449, 940	11
	Fair	328, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 452, 454, 456, 457, 458, 939,	19
		944, 956, 957, 958, 959	
	Poor	455	1
	Dying	447, 945, 946, 947, 948, 949, 951, 952, 953, 954, 955	11
	Dead	950	1
	Total		43
-			

Conflict with tennis court removal

Removing a retaining wall above a tennis court near a tree poses challenges. It requires careful planning to prevent soil destabilization, tree root damage, and potential harm to the tree's health and stability during the process.

Condition Tag Number

Good	350	1
Total		1

Dead/dying tree

Dead or dying trees near development pose safety risks. Their instability and potential for falling branches or trunks could harm people or damage property, necessitating their removal to ensure safety.

Condition	Tag Number	Quantity
Dying	396, 398, 399, 423, 428, 429, 434, 440, 464, 963, 972	11
Dead	81, 327, 395, 401, 402, 432, 438, 446, 970	9
Total		20

No Conflict

The following trees are not anticipated to pose conflicts with development. Their location, size, and growth patterns are conducive to coexistence with the planned construction, minimizing the need for removal or significant adjustments.

V		
Condition	Tag Number	Quantity
Excellent	404, 405, 407, 408, 421, 426, 985	7
Fair	84, 85, 300, 319, 337, 338, 348, 349, 397, 400, 412, 413, 414, 416, 417,	47
	418, 419, 427, 430, 431, 433, 437, 439, 459, 468, 961,968, 969, 971,	
	979, 983, 995, 997, 998, 999, H1, H10, H11, H12, H13, H14, H15, H16,	
	Н2, Н3, Н8, Н9	
Good	68, 83, 86, 323, 324, 325, 403, 406, 409, 422, 443, 960, 964, 965, 966,	25
	967, 975, 976, 977, 978, 981, 986, 994, 996, 1000	
Poor	305, 314, 315, 415, 424, 460, 461, 462, 463, 465, 466, 467, 962	13
Total		92

Tree Suitable for re-location

The following trees are eligible for relocation within the development site. Their size, species, and adaptability make them suitable candidates for preservation through transplantation, ensuring their continued contribution to the site's landscape.

	Condition	Tag Number	Quantity			
	Good	332, 333	2			
	Total		2			
Will not survive site change						
The trees listed here are not expected to withstand the alterations to the site brought about by						

development. These changes, which encompass shifts in hydrology and wind patterns, present insurmountable challenges to their survival.

	Condition	Tag Number	Quantity	
	Fair	80	1	
	Poor	425	1	
	Total		2	
Grand Total 2				

Tree Preservation Strategies

In the intricate tapestry of urban development, the preservation of our natural assets, specifically trees, holds an indispensable role. This section will outline a comprehensive strategy that embraces the delicate balance between development and tree preservation, employing best practices, innovative techniques, and a commitment to sustainability.

Protecting Tree Roots

Preserving the vitality of trees within the urban landscape is a paramount concern. In this section, we delve into the essential strategies and practices for safeguarding tree roots during development. By ensuring the health and structure of these hidden anchors, we contribute to the sustainability and longevity of our urban greenery.

Within the context of this report, we recognize two generalized types of tree roots: Structural and Biological. Structural tree roots and biological fibrous tree roots serve different but complementary functions. Structural roots are specialized for stability and anchorage, ensuring that the tree remains upright and secure. They are large and rigid, often forming visible supports at the base of the tree.

In contrast, biological fibrous roots are responsible for the tree's vital processes, including water and nutrient absorption. They have a finer, more flexible structure, allowing them to explore a larger soil volume for resources. These roots are less visible as they are concentrated in the soil, but they are highly adaptable, responding to changing environmental conditions.

Both types of roots are essential for a tree's overall health and survival. Structural roots provide the physical foundation, while biological fibrous roots facilitate the tree's nutrition and growth. Understanding these differences is crucial when caring for trees, especially during development or landscaping projects, to ensure their well-being and longevity.

E	Exploration of Root Types in the Context of Development Impacts						
St	Structural Roots						
	Function Structural tree roots primarily provide stability and anchorage to the tree. The						
		are responsible for maintaining the tree's upright position and preventing it from					
		falling over.					
	Location	These roots are typically found near the base of the tree and extend horizontally,					
		often close to the soil surface.					
	Size and	Structural roots tend to be larger and thicker than biological fibrous roots. They					
Shape have a more rigid structure, contributing to the tree's stability.							
Appearance In mature or larger trees, structural roots are often visible above the gro							
They may form buttresses or flares at the base of the trunk, providing a							
		support.					
	Modification	Structural roots are less flexible and adaptable than biological fibrous roots. They					
	are less likely to respond to changes in soil conditions.						
Bi	Biological Fibrous Roots						
	Function Biological fibrous tree roots are responsible for water and nutrient absorption						
	well as energy storage. They play a crucial role in the tree's growth, health, and						
		overall metabolism.					

Location	These roots are distributed throughout the soil surrounding the tree, extending			
	far beyond the canopy's drip line.			
Size and	Biological fibrous roots are generally smaller in diameter and have a fine,			
Shape	branching structure. They are highly flexible and capable of penetrating the soil			
	deeply.			
Appearance	Unlike structural roots, biological fibrous roots are usually not visible above the			
	ground, as they are concentrated in the soil.			
Adaptability	Biological fibrous roots are highly adaptable and responsive to changes in soil			
	conditions, such as moisture and nutrient availability. They can grow and adjust			
	to environmental factors.			

The Critical Root Zone (CRZ) is a fundamental concept in arboriculture, particularly in the context of urban development, where tree preservation is a priority. It delineates the critical area surrounding a tree where its essential structural and functional roots are concentrated. The size of the CRZ can vary depending on factors such as the tree's species, age, and health. Understanding the CRZ is paramount in construction planning, especially in communities sensitive to tree removals. It serves as a protective barrier around a tree to safeguard its stability, overall health, and long-term survival. Within the CRZ, the majority of a tree's root system is located, responsible for vital functions such as water and nutrient absorption, stability, and anchorage. In a community where trees hold cultural, aesthetic, and ecological significance, respecting the CRZ is essential. During development, the CRZ is considered a "no-build" or "limited-disturbance" zone, ensuring that construction activities do not encroach upon this critical area. Techniques to protect the CRZ include the use of elevated walkways, root barriers, or designated buffer zones. By understanding and respecting the CRZ, urban development projects can strike a balance between progress and tree preservation. This concept underscores the importance of responsible development practices that acknowledge the significance of trees in our communities. It is a crucial element in ensuring that we can achieve our development goals while preserving the environmental and aesthetic value of our urban forests.

С	Critical Root Zones for Structure and Health						
CI	CRZ for Structure (DBH x 6)						
	Function The CRZ for structural support primarily serves to anchor the tree and mainta						
		stability, preventing it from falling over during adverse weather conditions or					
		other external factors.					
	Location and	The structural CRZ radius is calculated as a function of the tree's diameter at					
	Size	breast height (1.4m above grade) multiplied by a factor of 6.					
Importance Its primary importance lies in ensuring the tree's physical stability, which is							
essential for safety in urban environments.							
Modification Structural CRZs are less adaptable to soil disturbances or changes, as the							
		function is to provide stability.					
	Management	Managing this zone focuses on preventing soil compaction and damage to the key					
structural roots during construction. No disturbance is permitted.							
CRZ for Health and Longevity (DHB x 10)							
	Function The CRZ for health and longevity is critical for a tree's overall well-being. It						
		supports nutrient uptake, water absorption, and energy storage, promoting the					
		tree's growth and vitality.					

Location	The structural CRZ radius is calculated as a function of the tree's diameter at			
	breast height (1.4m above grade) multiplied by a factor of 10.			
Importance	Its primary importance is to maintain the tree's health and ensure its long-term			
	survival, contributing to ecological benefits and aesthetics in the urban			
	environment.			
Modification	This CRZ is highly adaptable and responsive to changes in soil conditions, enabling			
	the tree to thrive despite environmental changes or stressors.			
Management	Managing the health and longevity CRZ involves practices that promote soil			
	health, such compaction avoidance, mulching, adequate watering, and minimizing			
	soil disturbance. It also includes addressing factors like pest and disease			
	management to support the tree's longevity. Limited disturbance.			

In summary, while the CRZ for structure focuses on stability and is relatively smaller and less adaptable, the CRZ for health and longevity encompasses a larger area, supports essential biological functions, and is highly adaptable to changing environmental conditions. Both zones are crucial for tree care and preservation, each serving a distinct role in maintaining the tree's well-being and overall sustainability. To ensure successful tree preservation, a 1.5m buffer on the CRZ x 10 to eliminate any ambiguity is being recognized, as a whole, as the Tree Management Area (TMA). Any activity within the Tree Management Area requires Arborist Oversight.

Tree Protection Barriers

Tree protection barriers, often referred to as tree protection zones (TPZ) or tree protection fencing, are physical enclosures or barriers erected around trees to safeguard them from damage during construction, landscaping, or other activities that might pose a risk to the tree's health. These barriers serve as a means to preserve and protect trees, especially in urban environments where development and tree conservation must coexist.

Key Features of	Key Features of Tree Protection Barriers					
Purpose	The primary purpose of tree protection barriers is to prevent soil compaction, root					
	damage, physical harm to the trunk or branches, and exposure to construction					
	materials or machinery that can negatively affect the tree's health and stability.					
Design	Tree protection barriers are typically built of lumber with orange snow fencing. It is					
	appropriate in this context in recognition of the climate challenges we face – to					
	use construction hoarding in lieu of traditional wood framing. See below for an					
	expanded argument.					
Location	These barriers are placed around the tree at a distance from the trunk that					
	corresponds to the critical root zone (CRZ) or another specified protective radius.					
	See Attached Tree Protection Plan for exact locations					
Installation	Proper installation is essential to ensure that the barriers remain effective. They					
	should be securely anchored to the ground and constructed at a height that deters					
	entry but allows for airflow and visibility. Should construction hoarding be used,					
	the feet must be pinned and the panels must be bolted. The project arborist will					
	apply spray paint to these pins and bolts to monitor for tampering.					
Access	While tree protection barriers restrict access to the protected area, they often					
	include designated entry points for authorized personnel, allowing necessary					
	activities like tree maintenance while minimizing the risk of damage.					

Maintenance	Regular inspection and maintenance of the barriers are necessary to ensure their					
	integrity throughout the construction process. Any damage or breaches should be					
	promptly repaired to maintain the tree's protection.					
Compliance Tree protection barriers are inspectable items. Compliance with the arbo						
	is crucial to avoid potential legal and environmental consequences.					
Education	Informing construction personnel, landscapers, and others involved in the project					
	about the importance of tree protection barriers and the reasons for their					
	installation can help ensure compliance and minimize accidental damage.					

Tree protection barriers are vital tools in urban forestry and arboriculture practices, allowing for the preservation of mature trees in areas of development. By implementing these barriers correctly and monitoring their effectiveness, communities can balance the need for growth and infrastructure with the conservation of their valuable urban tree canopy.

An Argument for Metal Construction Fencing in Tree Protection Barrier Construction for Climate Responsibility:

In our commitment to climate responsibility and sustainable urban development, the choice of materials for tree protection barriers becomes pivotal. While wood fencing and snow barriers have traditionally been used, the responsible choice today is the adoption of metal construction fencing. Here's a compelling argument for its use:

- 1. <u>Durability and Longevity</u>: Metal construction fencing, typically made of materials like steel or aluminum, offers unmatched durability and longevity compared to wood. These materials are highly resistant to rot, decay, and weather-related deterioration. By choosing metal fencing, we reduce the need for frequent replacements, saving resources and energy expended in manufacturing and transporting new materials.
- <u>Reduced Environmental Impact</u>: The production of metal fencing often involves a high percentage of recycled content, contributing to reduced demand for virgin resources and lowering the overall carbon footprint of the product. Metal fencing generates fewer greenhouse gas emissions during its manufacturing process compared to the production of wood fencing, which may involve deforestation and energy-intensive processing.
- 3. <u>Maintenance Efficiency:</u> Metal construction fencing requires minimal maintenance over its lifespan, reducing the need for chemical treatments or coatings. This helps minimize the release of harmful chemicals into the environment.
- 4. <u>Adaptability to Climate Variability:</u> Metal construction fencing is highly resilient in the face of extreme weather conditions, including heavy snow loads. It remains stable, preventing the tree protection barrier from failing during snow events, which can cause damage to trees and infrastructure.
- 5. <u>Resource Conservation:</u> Opting for metal fencing aligns with the principles of resource conservation. Trees, as vital components of urban green spaces, contribute significantly to carbon sequestration, air purification, and overall climate resilience. Protecting them effectively ensures their continued service in mitigating climate change impacts.
- <u>Demonstrating Climate Responsibility:</u> By selecting metal construction fencing for tree protection barriers, we demonstrate a commitment to responsible, climate-conscious practices. This choice sends a powerful message about our dedication to sustainability and environmental stewardship, setting an example for others in our community.

In conclusion, the use of metal construction fencing in lieu of wood fencing and snow barriers for tree protection barrier construction represents a conscientious step toward climate responsibility. Its durability, reduced environmental impact, low maintenance requirements, and adaptability to climate variability make it a superior choice for preserving urban trees while minimizing our ecological footprint. This decision aligns with the urgent need to address climate change and promote sustainable practices in our communities.

Arborist Oversight

In the pursuit of responsible and sustainable redevelopment within a community that holds a deep commitment to ecological consciousness, the preservation of our urban trees stands as a testament to our shared values. Within this framework, arborist oversight becomes a pivotal element in our mission to harmonize progress with the preservation of trees.

Arborist oversight embodies a proactive approach, ensuring that our school redevelopment project not only meets the educational needs of our community but also upholds our environmental stewardship responsibilities. This section delineates the essential role that arborists play in safeguarding and preserving our urban canopy.

<u>Arborist Activities and Tools:</u> A suite of specialized tools may be employed working towards tree preservation. Continual health and structural integrity assessments of existing trees will be executed, and clear lines of communication about the balance of safety between contractors and trees will be maintained. Various tools such as root mapping technology, and non-invasive monitoring techniques to assess tree health and root vitality, root pruning, plant health care intervention may be utilized during the course of the development. Regular inspections and assessments during construction provide real-time data for informed decision-making.

The arborist will work collaboratively with the construction team to implement protective measures, which may include tree protection fencing, root barriers, and controlled construction access. Activities such as tree pruning, root collar excavations, and root pruning will be performed by the arborist along with the provision of guidance on irrigation and development impact mitigation.

<u>Consequences of Neglecting Arborist Involvement:</u> The consequences of neglecting arborist oversight within tree management zones can be profound. Trees subjected to indiscriminate construction practices often suffer from root damage, soil compaction, and stress, which can lead to decline or even mortality. The loss of mature trees not only diminishes our urban canopy's aesthetic and environmental value but also disrupts the delicate ecological balance within our community. In contrast, when arborists are actively involved in tree protection and preservation efforts, the outcome is a thriving urban forest that enriches our surroundings, improves air quality, mitigates the urban heat island effect, and provides habitat for local wildlife. Arborist oversight ensures that our development project stands as a testament to our commitment to sustainability and ecological responsibility.

Table of Trees Requiring Arborist Oversight							
Tree #	Species	Diameter	Condition	Suitability	Rationale		
		(cm)		for			
				Retention			
320	Acer saccharum	65	Good	Good	Conflict with site access		
321	Acer saccharum	52	Good	Good	Conflict with site access		
322	Acer saccharum	61	Good	Good	Conflict with site access		
330	Quercus robur	29	Good	Good	Conflict with parking		
336	Robina pseudoacia	49	Good	Good	Conflict with parking		
	'Frisia'						
350	Fagus sylvatica	61	Good	Good	Conflict with tennis court		
	'Purpurea'				removal		
956	Pseudotsuga menziesii	50	Fair	Moderate	Conflict with site access		
957	Pseudotsuga menziesii	73	Fair	Moderate	Conflict with site access		
958	Pseudotsuga menziesii	67	Fair	Moderate	Conflict with site access		
959	Pseudotsuga menziesii	64	Fair	Moderate	Conflict with site access		
980	Populus trichocarpa	40	Good	Poor	Conflict with pathway		
984	Populus trichocarpa	26	Good	Poor	Conflict with pathway		
993	Populus trichocarpa	40	Good	Poor	Conflict with pathway		
H6	Thuja plicata	25	Fair	/	Conflict with pathway		
H7	Thuja plicata	40	Fair	/	Conflict with pathway		

The following trees require arborist oversight:

Canopy Pruning

Pruning trees as a proactive measure to prevent damage during demolition is a strategic approach rooted in arboricultural wisdom. By selectively trimming branches and foliage, arborists create a protective buffer zone around trees slated for preservation. This reduction in canopy density minimizes the risk of falling debris and collateral damage caused by demolition activities. Moreover, strategic pruning ensures that trees remain structurally sound, reducing the likelihood of weakened branches or limbs posing safety hazards. In essence, the act of pruning becomes a guardian of both tree health and structural integrity, preserving the natural legacy while facilitating responsible redevelopment.

The following table lists the trees which require canopy pruning as part of the process for the demolition of the existing school.

Table of Trees Requiring Pruning as part of the Demolition Process						
Tree #	Species	Rationale				
301	Acer platanoides	52	Good	/	Canopy conflict with machinery	
302	Acer platanoides	59	Good	Moderate	Canopy conflict with machinery	
303	Acer platanoides	60	Good	Moderate	Canopy conflict with machinery	

Arborist Report for the Design Phase of Development Cloverley Elementary – 440 Hendry Avenue, City of North Vancouver

304	Acer	56	Good	Moderate	Canopy conflict with
	platanoides				machinery
306	Acer	80	Good	Moderate	Canopy conflict with
	platanoides				machinery
307	Acer	78	Good	Moderate	Canopy conflict with
	platanoides				machinery
308	Acer	88	Good	Good	Canopy conflict with
	platanoides				machinery
309	Acer	75	Good	Moderate	Canopy conflict with
	platanoides				machinery

Transplanting Acer cappadocicum

Transplanting the two *Acer cappadocicum*, like any tree planting effort, necessitates a meticulous approach to align with the International Society of Arboriculture's (ISA) Best Management Practices (BMPs) for tree planting and transplanting. Ensuring a successful establishment and long-term vitality of these trees begins with adherence to these essential guidelines.

<u>Site Assessment</u>: Begin with a comprehensive site evaluation, considering soil characteristics, drainage patterns, light availability, and proximity to infrastructure. Choose a planting location that not only suits the specific needs of *Acer cappadocicum* but also aligns with ISA recommendations for proper tree placement concerning utilities and structures.

<u>Transplanting Season</u>: Timing is crucial. Plan the transplanting operation during the tree's dormant season, typically in late fall or early spring. This minimizes stress and maximizes root recovery.

<u>Root Preparation:</u> Adequate root preparation is key. Prune the roots in advance, promoting a compact root ball that facilitates easier handling and transplanting. To ensure sufficient root preservation, the root ball of tree #332 (11cm DBH) should be between 330cm and 495cm diameter. The root ball of tree #333 (17cm DBH) should be between 510cm and 765cm diameter. This encourages a higher density of fibrous roots in the root ball. Consider installing root barrier along root ball edge to contain new root growth. Ensure the tree is well watered through the growing season. Consider the use of Auxin group hormones such as Indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) and Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) to encourage root recovery and facilitate biological fibrous root growth. These rooting hormones are commonly commercially available. Other sources of IAA but not IBA include kelp-based soil amendments.

- 1. <u>Soil Considerations</u>: Assess and amend the planting site's soil as necessary to ensure proper drainage and fertility. Incorporate organic matter or appropriate soil amendments to enhance soil quality. Consider the use of biochar or similar in consultation with the project arborist.
- <u>Transplanting Process</u>: Precisely excavate the planting hole according to the size of the root ball. Exercise caution while handling the tree to prevent harm to both roots and branches. Position the tree at the same depth it was previously planted. Backfill the hole using native soil, taking care to eliminate air pockets. Thoroughly water to settle the soil and prevent air gaps.
- 3. <u>Mulching and Staking</u>: Apply organic mulch around the base of the tree to conserve moisture, regulate soil temperature, and suppress weed growth. Only utilize staking if absolutely necessary for support, ensuring it does not constrict trunk movement or cause damage.
- 4. <u>Post-Transplant Care:</u> Commit to regular watering for a minimum of one year, or until the tree demonstrates strong establishment. Continually monitor the tree's health, looking out for signs of stress, disease, or pest issues. Implement post-transplant pruning and maintenance as required to encourage proper growth and structural integrity.
- 5. <u>Documentation</u>: Keep meticulous records of the entire transplanting process, including dates, procedures, and any notable observations about the tree's condition.

By faithfully adhering to these ISA BMPs for tree planting, you can ensure a successful transplant of *Acer cappadocicum* while prioritizing the tree's health and seamless integration into its new environment.

Development Softening

In the context of a school replacement project set within a region highly sensitive to tree removal, the preservation and management of existing forested areas are critical considerations. Striking a balance between development and conservation is a complex challenge, but a well-thought-out strategy that involves the retention, protection, and strategic removal of ageing trees can yield significant benefits.

45% of the inventoried trees conflict with the development. It is expected that this will have an impact on the community buy-in. In light of this, there are advantages to exploring this approach, with a specific focus on the retention of high-quality adolescent Bigleaf Maple (Acer macrophyllum) and Cottonwood (Populus spp.) trees. Such an approach not only addresses ecological concerns but also contributes to the long-term sustainability of the natural environment.

- 1. <u>Biodiversity Enhancement:</u> Retaining and promoting the growth of quality adolescent Bigleaf Maple and Cottonwood trees can lead to substantial enhancements in biodiversity. These trees provide critical habitat for numerous wildlife species, from birds to insects, and their shade creates microenvironments for understory vegetation. By carefully removing ageing trees that conflict with development or may pose risk to site occupants in the near future, we open up opportunities for these younger, healthier trees to provide essential habitat and sustenance for various wildlife.
- <u>Carbon Sequestration and Climate Mitigation</u>: Bigleaf Maples and Cottonwoods are exceptional at sequestering carbon dioxide, making them essential in the fight against climate change. Younger, vigorous trees efficiently absorb carbon from the atmosphere, helping offset greenhouse gas emissions. As ageing trees decline in carbon storage capacity, fostering the growth of adolescent trees becomes pivotal for long-term climate mitigation efforts.
- 3. <u>Aesthetic and Recreational Value</u>: High-quality adolescent Bigleaf Maples and Cottonwoods contribute significantly to the aesthetic appeal of the surroundings. With their vibrant foliage, pleasing shapes, and graceful canopies, these trees add beauty to the landscape. They also offer shade, creating inviting spaces for recreational activities and outdoor learning opportunities for the school community. The presence of such trees enhances the overall ambiance of the area and supports a thriving ecosystem.
- 4. <u>Reduced Maintenance Costs:</u> Ageing trees often require intensive maintenance due to structural issues, diseases, and increased susceptibility to pests. By carefully removing these ageing trees and focusing on the protection and promotion of younger, healthier specimens, we can reduce long-term maintenance costs. This reallocation of resources allows for more effective management and care of the remaining trees.
- 5. <u>Educational Opportunities</u>: A forest enriched with high-quality Bigleaf Maple and Cottonwood trees offers invaluable educational opportunities for students. It provides a living classroom

where students can learn about tree biology, ecology, and environmental stewardship. These hands-on experiences can foster a deeper connection between the school community and nature, nurturing future conservationists and environmental advocates.

In essence, the recommendation here is the softening of the development by retaining these younger trees as a residual forest that will grow with the community. In the challenging task of balancing development with environmental preservation, the retention, protection, and strategic removal of ageing trees, with a focus on high-quality adolescent Bigleaf Maple and Cottonwood specimens, offer substantial benefits. From biodiversity enhancement and carbon sequestration to enhanced aesthetics and reduced maintenance costs, the advantages of this approach are diverse and far-reaching. By carefully considering the long-term sustainability of the forest ecosystem, we can create a school environment that not only facilitates education but also exemplifies responsible stewardship of our natural heritage. This report aims to provide a foundation for informed decision-making, fostering a collaborative approach that prioritizes environmental well-being while addressing the needs of the school community.

General Construction Guidelines

The following activities are not permitted within critical root zones or tree protection barriers without the supervision of an ISA Certified Arborist:

- Entry into the tree protection zone.
- Stockpiling construction materials or demolition debris.
- Parking or driving vehicles or equipment.
- Piling soil and/or mulch.
- Trenching for utilities installation or repair, or for irrigation system installation.
- Changing soil grade by cutting or filling.
- Damaging roots by grading, tearing, or grubbing.
- Compacting soil with equipment, vehicles, material storage, and/or foot traffic.
- Contaminating soil from washing out equipment (especially concrete) and vehicle maintenance.
- Installing landscaping or hardscaping.
- Attaching anything to trees using nails, screws, and/or spikes.
- Pruning or wounding tree trunks or branches through contact with vehicles and heavy equipment.

Throughout the development, an ISA Certified Arborist shall be present to:

- Inspect the tree protection zones on a regular basis.
- Provide onsite overseeing of any activities occurring within, or in proximity to, tree protection zones (TPZ). BC Plant Health Care Inc. is to be notified one week in advance when onsite presence will be required, so it can be scheduled accordingly.
- Use air excavation, whenever deemed necessary, to expose roots which may conflict with excavations. Cleanly prune all exposed roots damaged by excavations. All excavation and root pruning work shall be performed in accordance with ISA Best Management Practices.
- Remove and reinstall Tree Protection Barriers to facilitate the proposed development.
- Perform canopy pruning if necessary. All pruning work shall be performed in accordance with ISA Best Management Practices.

- Provide onsite consultation during landscaping/hardscaping to ensure existing grades and critical root zones are protected and maintained to support the overall health and condition of retained trees. Provide guidance pertaining to the selection and location of replacement trees, if necessary.
- Provide reports as appropriate to the client and required by the City, which shall be submitted to the City Development Department.
- Tree Protection Barriers with posted signage shall be maintained in accordance with the Surrey Tree Protection Bylaw throughout the course of the development. Tree Protection Barriers shall only be removed or relocated by the project arborist.

Replacement Trees and Tree Removal Permitting

Without final tree removal numbers based on incomplete information for the site development, and a fluidly changing inventory due to the City of North Vancouver's tree risk management program, calculations of replacement trees is pre-mature. Ultimately, as this information comes in, we will be able to refine our tree management plan and determine replacement tree numbers.

To summarize The Corporation Of The City Of North Vancouver Bylaw No. 8888:

[1] replacement tree for each dead, dying, or Hazardous Tree that is cut or removed; and [3] replacement trees for each tree other than a dead, dying or Hazardous Tree that is cut or removed will be required.

Tree #	Species	Ownership	Diameter (cm)	Condition	Suitability for Retention	Recommended Maintenance
67	Juglans cinerea	SD44	109	Fair	Poor	Retain
68	Juglans cinerea	SD44	41	Good	Good	Retain
69	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	40	Fair	Poor	Remove
70	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	40	Good	Poor	Remove
71	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	80	Good	Poor	Remove
72	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	25	Good	Poor	Remove
73	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	35	Good	Poor	Remove
74	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	30	Good	Poor	Remove
75	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	30	Good	Poor	Remove
76	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	40	Good	Poor	Remove
77	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	30	Good	Poor	Remove
78	Alnus rubra	SD44	22	Fair	Poor	Remove
79	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	30	Good	Poor	Remove
80	Alnus rubra	SD44	25	Fair	Poor	Remove
81	Alnus rubra	SD44	30	Dead	Poor	Remove

Conclusions

			Ω.		ž	e
		dir	er (ci	5	ty fc n	anc
#	ies	ersł	lete	litio	bili	mm iten
ee	Deci	Ň	iam	puc	uita etei	eco lain
- 92	S Dopulus trichocarpa	0 SD44	26	ŭ	JS X	ž≥ Romovo
02 92	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	20	Good	Poor	Remove
03 Q/	Picea abies	SD44	22	Eair	Moderate	Retain
0 4 95	Alous rubra	SD44	25	Fair	Poor	Retain
05	Ronulus trichocarna	5044	20 61	Good	Poor	Retain
200	Acer platapoides		45	Guu	/	Potain
201	Acer platanoides		4J 50	Fair	1	Rotain
202	Acer platanoides		50	Good	/ Moderate	Retain
202	Acer platanoides	SD44	59	Good	Moderate	Retain
303	Acer platanoides	SD44	56	Good	Moderate	Retain
305	Acer platanoides	SD44 SD44	82	Poor	Poor	Retain
306	Acer platanoides		80	Good	Moderate	Retain
307	Acer platanoides		78	Good	Moderate	Retain
308	Acer platanoides	SD44	88	Good	Good	Retain
300	Acer platanoides	SD44 SD44	75	Good	Moderate	Retain
305	Malus fusca	SD44 SD44	31	Poor	Poor	Remove
310		SD44 SD44	19	Good	Poor	Remove
312	Populus trichocarna	SD44	21	Good	Poor	Remove
312	Populus trichocarpa	SD44 SD44	21	Good	Poor	Remove
314	Acer nalmatum		19	Poor	/	Retain
315	Acer palmatum		15	Poor	1	Retain
316	Acer palmatum	SD44	33	Fair	/ Moderate	Remove
317	Pinus sylvestrus	SD44 SD44	50	Fair	Poor	Remove
318	Prunus laurocerasus	SD44	90	Good	Moderate	Remove
319	Alnus rubra	SD44	118	Fair	Poor	Retain
320	Acer saccharum	SD44	65	Good	Good	Retain
321	Acer saccharum	SD44	52	Good	Good	Retain
322	Acer saccharum	SD44	61	Good	Good	Retain
323	Acer saccharum	CNV	54	Good	/	Retain
324	Acer saccharum	CNV	67	Good	/	Retain
325	Ailanthus altissima	SD44	32	Good	Poor	Retain
326	Ailanthus altissima	SD44	31	Good	Poor	Remove
327	Acer saccharum	SD44	41	Dead	Poor	Remove
328	Picea abies	SD44	59	Fair	Poor	Remove
329	Acer saccharum	SD44	50	Good	Good	Remove
330	Quercus robur	SD44	29	Good	Good	Retain
331	Acer cappadocicum	SD44	7	Fair	Poor	Remove
332	Acer cappadocicum	SD44	11	Good	Good	Re-locate
333	Acer cappadocicum	SD44	17	Good	Good	Re-locate
334	Salix babylonica	SD44	59	Poor	Poor	Remove

#	es.	ership	neter (cm)	lition	ability for ntion	mmended itenance
ree	bed	LI MO	ian	oue	uita ete	ecc 1aiı
335	Salix hahylonica	0 SD44	15	Good	os ≌ Poor	Remove
336	Rohina nseudoacia	SD44	49	Good	Good	Retain
	'Frisia'	3011	15	0000	0000	netum
337	Prunus serrulata	SD44	71	Fair	Poor	Retain
220	Kwanzan' Top Graft	CD 4.4	50	F air	Deen	Detein
338	Prunus serrulata	SD44	58	Fair	Poor	Retain
220	Rwunzun Top Grujt	SD44	60	Fair	Poor	Pomovo
335	'Kwanzan' Ton Graft	3044	09	1 dii	FUUI	Keniove
340	Prunus serrulata	SD44	40	Fair	Poor	Remove
	'Kwanzan' Top Graft	0011		. an	1 001	hemore
341	Pinus nigra	SD44	70	Fair	Moderate	Retain
342	Pinus nigra	SD44	59	Fair	Moderate	Remove
343	Pinus nigra	SD44	47	Fair	Moderate	Retain
344	Pinus nigra	SD44	60	Fair	Moderate	Remove
345	Acer palmatum	SD44	45	Fair	Moderate	Remove
346	Acer palmatum	SD44	41	Good	Good	Retain
347	Acer palmatum	SD44	74	Good	Good	Remove
348	Pinus nigra	SD44	72	Fair	Moderate	Retain
349	Pinus nigra	SD44	60	Fair	Moderate	Retain
350	Fagus sylvatica 'Purpurea'	SD44	61	Good	Good	Retain
395	Alnus rubra	CNV	20	Dead	/	Remove
396	Alnus rubra	CNV	27	Dying	/	Remove
397	Acer macrophyllum	SD44	27	Fair	Moderate	Retain
398	Alnus rubra	SD44	40	Dying	Poor	Remove
399	Alnus rubra	SD44	27	Dying	Poor	Remove
400	Prunus emarginata	CNV	22	Fair	/	Retain
401	Alnus rubra	CNV	28	Dead	/	Remove
402	Alnus rubra	SD44	41	Dead	Poor	Remove
403	Acer macrophyllum	SD44	20	Good	Good	Retain
404	Acer macrophyllum	SD44	22	Excellent	Good	Retain
405	Acer macrophyllum	SD44	22	Excellent	Good	Retain
406	Acer macrophyllum	SD44	24	Good	Good	Retain
407	Acer macrophyllum	SD44	22	Excellent	Good	Retain
408	Acer macrophyllum	SD44	22	Excellent	Good	Retain
409	Acer macrophyllum	SD44	48	Good	Moderate	Retain
410	Ainus rubra	SD44	34	Poor	Poor	Remove
411	Prunus emarginata	SD44	36	Fair	Poor	Remove
412	Prunus emarginata	CNV	20	Fair	1	Retain

#	S	ership	eter (cm)	ition	oility for ntion	mmended tenance
ee	Deci	ЭЦ М М	iam	ipuo	lital	ain
Е //12	S Populus trichocarpa	Ô CNIV	D	Ŭ Eair	, Su	ž ≥ Rotain
415	Populus trichocarpa		72	Fair	1	Potain
414			10	Poor	1	Retain
415	Populus trichocarna		75	Four	1	Retain
410	Prunus emarginata		26	Fair	1	Retain
417	Prunus emarginata		20	Fair	1	Retain
410 //10	Acer macronhyllum		25	Fair	/ Moderate	Retain
420	Acer macrophyllum	SD44	60	Good	Moderate	Remove
420	Acer macrophyllum	SD44	33	Excellent	Moderate	Retain
421	Prunus emarainata	SD44	23	Good	Moderate	Retain
422		SD44	90	Dving	Poor	Remove
424	Populus trichocarna	CNV	60	Poor	/	Retain
425	Alnus ruhra	SD44	33	Poor	Poor	Remove
426	Acer macrophyllum	SD44	39	Excellent	Moderate	Retain
427	Prunus emarainata	SD44	22	Fair	Poor	Retain
428	Alnus rubra	CNV	30	Dving	/	Remove
429	Alnus rubra	CNV	35	Dving	/	Remove
430	Prunus emarainata	CNV	25	Fair	/	Retain
431	Prunus emarginata	CNV	29	Fair	/	Retain
432	Alnus rubra	SD44	32	Dead	Poor	Remove
433	Acer macrophyllum	SD44	29	Fair	Poor	Retain
434	Alnus rubra	SD44	30	Dying	Poor	Remove
435	Acer macrophyllum	SD44	29	Good	Good	Remove
436	Acer macrophyllum	SD44	32	Good	Good	Remove
437	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	85	Fair	Poor	Retain
438	Alnus rubra	SD44	50	Dead	Poor	Remove
439	Prunus emarginata	SD44	32	Fair	Poor	Retain
440	Alnus rubra	SD44	35	Dying	Poor	Remove
441	Alnus rubra	SD44	35	Poor	Poor	Remove
442	Alnus rubra	SD44	70	Fair	Poor	Remove
443	Acer macrophyllum	SD44	32	Good	Good	Retain
444	Alnus rubra	SD44	29	Poor	Poor	Remove
445	Alnus rubra	SD44	37	Poor	Poor	Remove
446	Alnus rubra	SD44	30	Dead	Poor	Remove
447	Alnus rubra	CNV	32	Dying	/	Remove
448	Alnus rubra	SD44	70	Poor	Poor	Remove
449	Acer macrophyllum	SD44	40	Good	Good	Remove
450	Alnus rubra	SD44	40	Poor	Poor	Remove
451	Alnus rubra	SD44	50	Dying	Poor	Remove
452	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	65	Fair	Poor	Remove

# 0	cies	nership	meter (cm)	dition	ability for ention	ommended intenance
Ire	be	Ň	Dia	Lo Lo	Set	Rec
453	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	60	Good	Poor	Remove
454	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	57	Fair	Poor	Remove
455	Alnus rubra	SD44	32	Poor	Poor	Remove
456	Populus trichocarpa	CNV	65	Fair	/	Remove
457	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	75	Fair	Poor	Remove
458	Populus trichocarpa	CNV	80	Fair	1	Remove
459	Prunus emarginata	CNV	22	Fair	/	Retain
460	Alnus rubra	SD44	35	Poor	Poor	Retain
461	Alnus rubra	CNV	50	Poor	/	Retain
462	Alnus rubra	CNV	40	Poor	/	Retain
463	Alnus rubra	CNV	35	Poor	/	Retain
464	Alnus rubra	SD44	50	Dying	Poor	Remove
465	Alnus rubra	CNV	40	Poor	/	Retain
466	Alnus rubra	SD44	70	Poor	Poor	Retain
467	Pseudotsuga menziesii	CNV	45	Poor	/	Retain
468	Prunus emarginata	CNV	22	Fair	1	Retain
939	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	60	Fair	Poor	Remove
940	Acer macrophyllum	SD44	40	Good	Good	Remove
941	Alnus rubra	SD44	30	Dead	Poor	Remove
942	Alnus rubra	SD44	40	Dying	Poor	Remove
943	Alnus rubra	SD44	35	Poor	Poor	Remove
944	Alnus rubra	SD44	34	Fair	Poor	Remove
945	Alnus rubra	SD44	40	Dying	Poor	Remove
946	Alnus rubra	SD44	24	Dying	Poor	Remove
947	Alnus rubra	SD44	40	Dying	Poor	Remove
948	Alnus rubra	SD44	40	Dying	Poor	Remove
949	Alnus rubra	SD44	40	Dying	Poor	Remove
950	Alnus rubra	SD44	60	Dead	Poor	Remove
951	Alnus rubra	SD44	40	Dying	Poor	Remove
952	Alnus rubra	SD44	105	Dying	Poor	Remove
953	Alnus rubra	SD44	30	Dying	Poor	Remove
954	Alnus rubra	SD44	40	Dying	Poor	Remove
955	Alnus rubra	SD44	40	Dying	Poor	Remove
956	Pseudotsuga menziesii	SD44	50	Fair	Moderate	Retain
957	Pseudotsuga menziesii	SD44	73	Fair	Moderate	Retain
958	Pseudotsuga menziesii	SD44	67	Fair	Moderate	Retain

		dic	ır (cm)	c	ty for in	ance
#	cies	nersł	nete	ditio	abili	omm nten
Iree	bed	JWC	Diar	no	Suits	Reco
959	Pseudotsuga menziesii	SD44	64	Fair	Moderate	Retain
960	Acer macrophyllum	SD44	110	Good	Moderate	Retain
961	Alnus rubra	SD44	40	Fair	Poor	Retain
962	Alnus rubra	SD44	30	Poor	Poor	Retain
963	Alnus rubra	SD44	40	Dying	Poor	Remove
964	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	40	Good	Poor	Retain
965	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	45	Good	Poor	Retain
966	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	43	Good	Poor	Retain
967	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	40	Good	Poor	Retain
968	Acer macrophyllum	SD44	90	Fair	Poor	Retain
969	Alnus rubra	SD44	50	Fair	Poor	Retain
970	Alnus rubra	SD44	23	Dead	Poor	Remove
971	Alnus rubra	SD44	24	Fair	Poor	Retain
972	Alnus rubra	SD44	22	Dying	Poor	Remove
973	Alnus rubra	SD44	30	Poor	Poor	Remove
974	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	100	Good	Poor	Remove
975	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	40	Good	Poor	Retain
976	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	40	Good	Poor	Retain
977	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	40	Good	Poor	Retain
978	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	40	Good	Poor	Retain
979	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	40	Fair	Poor	Retain
980	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	40	Good	Poor	Retain
981	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	65	Good	Poor	Retain
982	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	40	Good	Poor	Remove
983	Alnus rubra	SD44	23	Fair	Poor	Retain
984	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	26	Good	Poor	Retain
985	Juglans cinerea	SD44	40	Excellent	Good	Retain
986	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	30	Good	Poor	Retain
987	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	40	Good	Poor	Remove
988	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	50	Good	Poor	Remove
989	Alnus rubra	SD44	80	Poor	Poor	Remove
990	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	40	Good	Poor	Remove
991	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	40	Good	Poor	Remove
992	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	35	Good	Poor	Remove
993	Populus trichocarpa	SD44	40	Good	Poor	Retain
994	Jugians cinerea	SD44	29	Good	GOOD	Retain
995	Pinus nigra	SD44	52	Fair	wouerate	Retain
990	Fugus sylvatica	SD44	35	Good	Good	Retain
997	rinus nigra	SD44	40	Fair	ivioderate	ĸetain

Tree #	Species	Ownership	Diameter (cm)	Condition	Suitability for Retention	Recommended Maintenance
998	Alnus rubra	SD44	34	Fair	Poor	Retain
999	Pinus nigra	SD44	55	Fair	Moderate	Retain
1000	Fagus sylvatica	SD44	37	Good	Good	Retain
H1	Thuja plicata	CNV	25	Fair	/	Retain
H10	Thuja plicata	CNV	40	Fair	1	Retain
H11	Thuja plicata	CNV	40	Fair	1	Retain
H12	Thuja plicata	CNV	30	Fair	1	Retain
H13	Thuja plicata	CNV	40	Fair	1	Retain
H14	Thuja plicata	CNV	40	Fair	1	Retain
H15	Thuja plicata	CNV	45	Fair	1	Retain
H16	Thuja plicata	CNV	50	Fair	1	Retain
H2	Thuja plicata	CNV	25	Fair	1	Retain
H3	Thuja plicata	CNV	30	Fair	1	Retain
H4	Thuja plicata	CNV	30	Fair	1	Remove
H5	Thuja plicata	CNV	30	Fair	1	Remove
H6	Thuja plicata	CNV	25	Fair	1	Retain
H7	Thuja plicata	CNV	40	Fair	/	Retain
H8	Thuja plicata	CNV	20	Fair	/	Retain
H9	Thuja plicata	CNV	30	Fair	/	Retain

Respectfully,



Pictures



Figure 1. Tree 300



Figure 2. Tree 300



Figure 3. Tree 301 architecture



Figure 4. Tree 301 canopy conflict



Figure 5. Tree 302



Figure 6. Tree 303



Figure 7. Tree 304



Figure . 8Tree 305



Figure 9. Tree 306



Figure 10. Tree 306



Figure 11. Tree 307 canopy conflict



Figure 12. Tree 308 architecture



Figure 13. Tree 309 canopy conflict



Figure 14. Tree 310



Figure 15. Tree 311



Figure 16. Trees 312 and 313



Figure 17. Trees 314 and 315



Figure 18. Tree 316



Figure 19. Tree 317



Figure 20. Tree 318



Figure 21. Tree 319



Figure 22. Tree 320



Figure 23. Tree 321



Figure 24. Tree 322



Figure 25. Tree 323



Figure 26. Tree 324



Figure 27. Tree 325



Figure 28. Tree 326



Figure 29. Tree 327



Figure 30. Tree 328



Figure 31. Tree 329



Figure 32. Tree 330



Figure 33. Tree 331



Figure 34. Tree 332



Figure 35. Tree 333



Figure 36. Tree 334



Figure 37. Tree 335



Figure 38. Tree 336



Figure 39. Tree 337



Figure 40. Tree 338



Figure 41. Tree 339



Figure 42. Tree 340



Figure 43. Tree 341



Figure 44. Tree 342



Figure 45. Tree 343



Figure 46. Tree 344



Figure 47. Tree 345



Figure 48. Tree 356



Figure 49. Tree 347



Figure 50. 350

Figure 51. Tree 348



Figure 52. Tree 349





Figure 53. Forest Condition viewed from Shavington Street



Figure 54. Trees 956, 957, 958 and 959

Tree #	Species	Common Name	Ownership	Tree Notes	Diameter (cm)	Height (m)	CRZ Radius from Trunk (Structure) (x6) (m)	CRZ Radius from Trunk (Health) (x10) (m)	Condition	Suitability for Retention	Target	Location of Condition	Condition Type	Severity of Condition	Zone Occ. Move Restrict	Failure Probability	Impact Likelihood	Likely Consequences	Risk Rating of Condition	Recommendation	Rationale	Prescription
67	Juglans cinerea	Butternut	SD44	Dead spire and previous failures	109	15	6.54	10.9	Fair	Poor										Retain	Conflict with pathway	Crown Clean dead spire. Provide advanced health and risk assessment. Arborist oversight.
68	Juglans cinerea	Butternut	SD44		41	15	2.46	4.1	Good	Good										Retain	No Conflict	
69	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44		40	2	2.4	4	Fair	Poor										Remove	Conflict with pathway	
70	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44		40	20	2.4	4	Good	Poor			-		_					Remove	Conflict with pathway	
/1	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44	Multi stemmed	80	20	4.8	8	Good	Poor										Remove	envelope	
72	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44		25	20	1.5	2.5	Good	Poor										Remove	Conflict with building envelope	
73	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44		35	20	2.1	3.5	Good	Poor										Remove	Conflict with building envelope	
74	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44		30	20	1.8	3	Good	Poor										Remove	Conflict with building envelope	
75	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44		30	20	1.8	3	Good	Poor										Remove	Conflict with pathway	
76	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44		40	20	2.4	4	Good	Poor			-		_					Remove	Conflict with pathway	
77	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44		30	2	1.8	3	Good	Poor										Remove	Conflict with pathway	
70	Annus rubru Bonulus trichosarna	Reu diuer	SD44		22	20	1.52	2.2	Fall	Poor										Remove	Conflict with building	
79	Alaus autor	Ded elder	5044		30	20	1.0	25	Guuu	Pool										Remove	envelope	
80	Ainus rubra	Red alder	SD44		25	20	1.5	2.5	Fair	Poor										Remove	change	
81	Ainus rubra	Red alder	SD44		30	20	1.8	3	Dead	Poor					-					Remove	Dead/dying tree	
02	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44		20	20	1.50	2.0	Good	Poor										Rettoin	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier
00	Populus tricriocurpu Picea abies	DIACK COLLOTIWOOU	SD44		22	20	1.52	2.2	Guuu	Modorato										Retain	No Conflict	Thee protection barrier:
85	Alous rubra		SD44		25	20	1.5	2.5	Fair	Poor										Rotain	No Conflict	
86	Populus trichocarpa		SD44		61	20	3.66	6.1	Good	Poor										Retain	No Conflict	
300	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	CNV	Hydro topped. Decay in surface roots. Lifting sidewalk.	45	6	2.7	4.5	Fair	/										Retain	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier.
301	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	CNV	Some deadwood in canopy. Girdling root. Crown raise for machine access and driveway removal.	52	15	3.12	5.2	Good	/										Retain	Canopy conflict with machinery	Tree protection barrier. Canopy raise and crown clean. Arborist oversight during driveway / retaining wall removal
302	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	SD44	Some deadwood in crown	59	20	3.54	5.9	Good	Moderate										Retain	Canopy conflict with machinery	Tree protection barrier. Canopy raise and crown clean. Arborist oversight during driveway / retaining wall removal
303	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	SD44	Exposed surface roots	60	20	3.6	6	Good	Moderate										Retain	Canopy conflict with machinery	Tree protection barrier. Canopy raise and crown clean. Arborist oversight during driveway / retaining wall removal
304	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	SD44	Subordinated by 305. Some deadwood in crown. Canopy over asphalt and retaining wall	56	15	3.36	5.6	Good	Moderate										Retain	Canopy conflict with machinery	Tree protection barrier. Canopy raise and crown clean. Arborist oversight during driveway / retaining wall removal
305	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	SD44	Ground contact open faced barkless scar with incipient decay including pockets of advanced decay especially at the base and root crown Detached bark follows into first and second union. Good woundwood development. Dominant to 304 and 306. Some deadwood in crown	82	20	4.92	8.2	Poor	Poor	Abandone d parking area / future contractor area.	Trunk	Decay (sapwood)	Moderate	Abando	Possible	Low	Significan	Low	Retain	No Conflict	Provide advanced assessment at root collar to determine likelihood of failure.
306	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	SD44	Subordinate to 305. Robust surface roots interfacing with failing retaining wall. Low canopy over driveway and retaining wall	80	20	4.8	8	Good	Moderate										Retain	Canopy conflict with machinery	Canopy conflict with machinery. Tree protection barrier. Canopy raise and crown clean. Arborist oversight during driveway / retaining wall removal
307	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	SD44	Interfaces with retaining wall and building. Co dominant with 308	78	20	4.68	7.8	Good	Moderate										Retain	Canopy conflict with machinery	Tree protection barrier. Canopy raise and crown clean. Arborist oversight during driveway / retaining wall removal
308	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	SD44		88	20	5.28	8.8	Good	Good										Retain	Canopy conflict with machinery	Tree protection barrier. Canopy raise and crown clean. Arborist oversight during driveway / retaining wall removal
309	Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	SD44	Interfaces with retaining wall and building. Some deadwood.	75	6	4.5	7.5	Good	Moderate										Retain	Canopy conflict with machinery	Tree protection barrier. Canopy raise and crown clean. Arborist oversight during driveway / retaining wall removal
310	Malus fusca	Pacific Crabapple	SD44	Cavities in trunk. Poor quality tree. No fruit set.	31	5	1.86	3.1	Poor	Poor										Remove	Conflict with demolition	

Tree #	Species	Common Name	Ownership	Tree Notes	Diameter (cm)	Height (m)	CRZ Radius from Trunk (Structure) (x6) (m)	CRZ Radius from Trunk (Health) (x10) (m)	Condition	Suitability for Retention	Target	Location of Condition	Condition Type	Severity of Condition	Zone Occ. Move Restrict	Failure Probability	Impact Likelihood	Likely Consequences	Risk Rating of Condition	Recommendation	Rationale	Prescription
311	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	Ingrown in planter. Remove	19	10	1.14	1.9	Good	Poor										Remove	Conflict with demolition	
312	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44	Interfacing with asphalt sidewalk and retaining wall	21	10	1.26	2.1	Good	Poor										Remove	Conflict with demolition	
313	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44	Interfacing with asphalt sidewalk and retaining wall	22	10	1.32	2.2	Good	Poor										Remove	Conflict with demolition	
314	Acer palmatum	Japanese maple	CNV		19	4	1.14	1.9	Poor	/										Retain	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier.
315	Acer palmatum	Japanese maple	CNV		16	3	0.96	1.6	Poor	1										Retain	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier.
316	Acer palmatum	Japanese maple	SD44		33	3	1.98	3.3	Fair	Moderate										Remove	Conflict with play field	
317	Pinus sylvestrus	Scot's pine	SD44	Interfaces with building	50	20	3	5	Fair	Poor	Building	Trunk	Co-dominant stems (low)	Moderate	Building	Possible	High	Significar	1odera	Remove	Conflict with play field	
318	Prunus laurocerasus	Cherry laurel	SD44		90	15	5.4	9	Good	Moderate										Remove	Conflict with play field	
319	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	Drought related dieback	118	20	7.08	11.8	Fair	Poor										Retain	No Conflict	
320	Acer saccharum	Sugar maple	SD44		65	20	3.9	6.5	Good	Good										Retain	Conflict with site access	Tree protection barrier. Arborist Oversight. Re-assess retention
321	Acer saccharum	Sugar maple	SD44		52	20	3.12	5.2	Good	Good										Retain	Conflict with site access	feasibility once grading plan reviewed. Tree protection barrier. Arborist Oversight, Re-assess retention
					52	20	5.12	5.2	0000	0000			-									feasibility once grading plan reviewed.
322	Acer saccharum	Sugar maple	SD44		61	20	3.66	6.1	Good	Good										Retain	Conflict with site access	Tree protection barrier. Arborist Oversight. Re-assess retention feasibility once grading plan reviewed.
323	Acer saccharum	Sugar maple	CNV		54	20	3.24	5.4	Good	/										Retain	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier.
324	Acer saccharum	Sugar maple	CNV		67	20	4.02	6.7	Good	/										Retain	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier.
325	Ailanthus altissima	Tree of Heaven	SD44		32	10	1.92	3.2	Good	Poor										Retain	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier.
326	Ailanthus altissima	Tree of Heaven	SD44		31	10	1.86	3.1	Good	Poor										Remove	Conflict with site access	
327	Acer saccharum	Sugar maple	SD44		41	15	2.46	4.1	Dead	Poor										Remove	Dead/dying tree	
328	Picea abies	Norway Spruce	SD44	Interesting basal flare. Resin runs from sap sucker damage. Thinning crown. Likely drought related.	59	20	3.54	5.9	Fair	Poor										Remove	Conflict with site access	
329	Acer saccharum	Sugar maple	SD44		50	15	3	5	Good	Good										Remove	Conflict with site access	
330	Quercus robur	English oak	SD44		29	15	1.74	2.9	Good	Good										Retain	Conflict with parking	Tree protection barrier. Arborist Oversight. Re-assess retention feasibility once grading plan reviewed.
331	Acer cappadocicum	Cappadocian maple	SD44	Interesting tree species. String trimmer damage at base. Looks drought stressed.	7	4	0.42	0.7	Fair	Poor										Remove	Conflict with parking	Tree protection barrier.
332	Acer cappadocicum	Cappadocian maple	SD44	Interesting tree species.	11	4	0.66	1.1	Good	Good										Re-locate	Tree Suitable for re- location. See arborist	
																					report.	
333	Acer cappadocicum	Cappadocian maple	SD44	Interesting tree species.	17	5	1.02	1.7	Good	Good										Re-locate	Tree Suitable for re-	
																					location. See arborist	
																					report.	
334	Salix babylonica	Weeping willow	SD44	Tree partially failed at roots. Canopy architecture is disorganized. Exhibiting drought and heat stress.	59	4	3.54	5.9	Poor	Poor										Remove	Conflict with building envelope	
335	Salix babylonica	Weeping willow	SD44	Coppice	15	3	0.9	1.5	Good	Poor			+		<u> </u>	+ +				Remove	Conflict with building	
226	Pohing acoudogoig (Fricia)	Frisial galden lagust	5044		40	20	2.04	4.0	Cood	Cood										Dotoin	envelope	Trop protection barrier, Arbarist Quersiaht, Do assess retention
550	Robina pseudoucia Prisia	Frisia golden locust	5044		49	20	2.94	4.9	6000	6000										Retain	Connict with parking	feasibility once grading plan reviewed.
337	Prunus serrulata 'Kwanzan' Top Graft	Grafted 'Kwanzan' flowering cherry	SD44	Bacterial canker. Previous branch failures. Girdled scaffold. Overmature.	71	5	4.26	7.1	Fair	Poor	Meeting area	Scaffolds	s Cankers / galls	Severe	Meeting	Possible	Low	Significar	Low	Retain	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier.
338	Prunus serrulata 'Kwanzan' Top Graft	Grafted 'Kwanzan' flowering cherry	SD44	Rootstock reverting. Bacterial canker.	58	4	3.48	5.8	Fair	Poor		Scaffold	s Cankers / galls	Major	Within	Possible	Low	Significar	Low	Retain	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier.
339	Prunus serrulata 'Kwanzan' Top Graft	Grafted 'Kwanzan' flowering cherry	SD44	Bacterial canker	69	4	4.14	6.9	Fair	Poor		Scaffold	s Cankers / galls	Moderate		Possible	Low	Significar	Low	Remove	Conflict with site access	
340	Prunus serrulata 'Kwanzan' Top Graft	Grafted 'Kwanzan' flowering	SD44	Graft rejected. Basal sprouts for major part of canopy.	40	6	2.4	4	Fair	Poor					1					Remove	Conflict with site access	
341	Pinus nigra	Black pine	SD44		70	20	4.2	7	Fair	Moderate		Trunk	Co-dominant stems							Retain	Conflict with site access	Tree protection barrier.
342	Pinus nigra	Black pine	SD44		59	20	3.54	5.9	Fair	Moderate		Trunk	Co-dominant stems	Moderate						Remove	Conflict with site access	
343	Pinus nigra	Black pine	SD44		47	20	2.82	4.7	Fair	Moderate		Trunk	(low) Co-dominant stems	Moderate						Retain	Conflict with site access	Tree protection barrier.
													(low)									

Tree #	Species	Common Name	Ownership	Tree Notes	Diameter (cm)	Height (m)	CRZ Radius from Trunk (Structure) (x6) (m)	CRZ Radius from Trunk (Health) (x10) (m)	Condition	Suitability for Retention	Target	Location of Condition	Condition Type	Severity of Condition	Zone Occ. Move Restric	Failure Probability	Impact Likelihood	Likely Consequences	Risk Rating of Condition Recommendation	Rationale	Prescription
344	Pinus nigra	Black pine	SD44	6	50	20	3.6	6	Fair	Moderate		Trunk	Co-dominant stems (low)	Moderate					Remove	Conflict with site access	
345	Acer palmatum	Japanese maple	SD44	4	15	0	2.7	4.5	Fair	Moderate									Remove	Conflict with site access	
346	Acer palmatum	Japanese maple	SD44	4	11	4	2.46	4.1	Good	Good									Retain	Conflict with site access	Conflict with site access. Tree protection barrier.
347	Acer palmatum	Japanese maple	SD44	7	74	5	2.4	7.4	Good	Good									Remove	Conflict with site access	
348	Pinus nigra	Black pine	SD44	7	/2	20	4.32	7.2	Fair	Moderate		Trunk	Co-dominant stems (low)	Moderate					Retain	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier.
349	Pinus nigra	Black pine	SD44	Shade suppressed ivy. 6	50	20	3.6	6	Fair	Moderate		Trunk	Co-dominant stems (low)	Moderate					Retain	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier.
350	Fagus sylvatica 'Purpurea'	Copper beech	SD44	Interfaces with retaining wall 6	51	25	3.66	6.1	Good	Good									Retain	Conflict with tennis court removal	Arborist oversight
395	Alnus rubra	Red alder	CNV	2	20	15	1.2	2	Dead	/									Remove	Dead/dying tree	
396	Alnus rubra	Red alder	CNV	2	27	20	1.62	2.7	Dying	/									Remove	Dead/dying tree	
397	Acer macrophyllum	Bigleaf maple	SD44	2	27	20	1.62	2.7	Fair	Moderate									Retain	No Conflict	
398	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	Targets school ground 4	40	15	2.4	4	Dying	Poor		-							Remove	Dead/dying tree	
399	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	2	27	15	1.62	2.7	Dying	Poor									Remove	Dead/dying tree	
400	Prunus emarginata	Bitter cherry	CNV	2	22	15	1.32	2.2	Fair	/									Retain	No Conflict	
401	Alnus rubra	Red alder	CNV	2	28	10	1.68	2.8	Dead	/									Remove	Dead/dying tree	
402	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	4	11	20	2.46	4.1	Dead	Poor									Remove	Dead/dying tree	
403	Acer macrophyllum	Bigleat maple	SD44	2	20	0	1.2	2	Good	Good									Retain	No Conflict	Too on the star is a second
404	Acer macrophyllum	Bigleaf maple	SD44 SD44	2	22	15	1.32	2.2	Excellent	Good									Retain	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier.
405	Acer macrophyllum	Bigleaf maple	SD44	2	2	20	1.32	2.2	Good	Good									Retain	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier
400	Acer macrophyllum	Bigleaf maple	SD44	2	22	15	1.44	2.4	Excellent	Good									Retain	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier
408	Acer macrophyllum	Bigleaf maple	SD44	2	2	15	1.32	2.2	Excellent	Good									Retain	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier.
409	Acer macrophyllum	Bigleaf maple	SD44	Multi stemmed 4	18	15	2.88	4.8	Good	Moderate									Retain	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier.
410	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	Dead top 3	34	15	2.04	3.4	Poor	Poor									Remove	Conflict with play field	
411	Prunus emarginata	Bitter cherry	SD44	3	36	15	2.16	3.6	Fair	Poor									Remove	Conflict with play field	
412	Prunus emarginata	Bitter cherry	CNV	2	20	12	1.2	2	Fair	/									Retain	No Conflict	
413	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	CNV	7	/2	25	4.32	7.2	Fair	/									Retain	No Conflict	
414	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	CNV	7	/1	25	4.26	7.1	Fair	/									Retain	No Conflict	
415	Alnus rubra	Red alder	CNV	4	40	15	2.4	4	Poor	/									Retain	No conflict	
416	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	CNV	7	75	25	4.5	7.5	Fair	/									Retain	No Conflict	
417	Prunus emarginata	Bitter cherry	CNV	2	26	15	1.56	2.6	Fair	/									Retain	No Conflict	
418	Prunus emarginata	Bitter cherry	CNV CD44	2	23	15	1.38	2.3	Fair	/									Retain	No Conflict	+
419	Acer macrophyllum	Digleaf maple	SD44	2	- D	15	1.5	2.5	Fair	Noderate				l					Retain	NO CONTICE	
420	Acer macrophyllum	Bigleaf maple	5044 SD44	b	0	20	5.0 1.09	5 2 2	GOOD	Modorate									Retain	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier
421	Prunus emarainata	Ritter cherry	SD44	3	,3	15	1.30	2.3	Good	Moderate									Retain	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier
423	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	9	0	20	5.4	9	Dving	Poor				t	1				Remove	Dead/dving tree	
424	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	CNV	6	50	20	3.6	6	Poor	/									Retain	No Conflict	1
425	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	3	33	15	1.98	3.3	Poor	Poor									Remove	Will not survive site change	
426	Acer macrophvllum	Bigleaf maple	SD44	3	39	20	2.34	3.9	Excellent	Moderate									Retain	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier.
427	Prunus emarginata	Bitter cherry	SD44	2	22	15	1.32	2.2	Fair	Poor				1					Retain	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier.
428	Alnus rubra	Red alder	CNV	3	30	15	1.8	3	Dying	/									Remove	Dead/dying tree	
429	Alnus rubra	Red alder	CNV	3	35	15	2.1	3.5	Dying	/									Remove	Dead/dying tree	
430	Prunus emarginata	Bitter cherry	CNV	2	25	15	1.5	2.5	Fair	/									Retain	No Conflict	
431	Prunus emarginata	Bitter cherry	CNV	2	29	15	1.74	2.9	Fair	/									Retain	No Conflict	
432	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	3	32	15	1.92	3.2	Dead	Poor									Remove	Dead/dying tree	
433	Acer macrophyllum	Bigleaf maple	SD44	2	29	15	1.74	2.9	Fair	Poor									Retain	No Conflict	<u> </u>
434	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	Top dead 3	30	15	1.8	3	Dying	Poor									Remove	Dead/dying tree	
435	Acer macrophyllum	Bigleat maple	SD44	2	<u>19</u>	20	1.74	2.9	Good	Good									Remove	Conflict with site access	
436	Acer macrophyllum	Bigleaf maple	SD44	3	32	15	1.92	3.2	Good	Good									Remove	Conflict with site access	
437	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44	8	35	30	5.1	8.5	Fair	Poor							_		Retain	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier.
438	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	Missing top 5	50	12	3	5	Dead	Poor									Remove	Dead/dying tree	
439	Prunus emarginata	Bitter cherry	SD44	3	32	15	1.92	3.2	Fair	Poor									Retain	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier.
440	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	3	35	15	2.1	3.5	Dying	Poor				1	l				Remove	Dead/dying tree	

Tree #	Species	Common Name	Ownership	Tree Notes	Diameter (cm)	Height (m)	CRZ Radius from Trunk (Structure) (x6) (m)	CRZ Radius from Trunk (Health) (x10) (m)	Condition	Suitability for Retention	Target	Location of Condition	Condition Type	Severity of Condition	Zone Occ. Move Restrict	Failure Probability	Impact Likelihood	Likely Consequences	Risk Rating of Condition	Recommendation	Rationale	Prescription
441	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44		35	15	2.1	3.5	Poor	Poor										Remove	Conflict with PMT	
442	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	Multi stemmed	70	15	4.2	7	Fair	Poor										Remove	Conflict with play area	
443	Acer macrophyllum	Bigleaf maple	SD44		32	15	1.92	3.2	Good	Good										Retain	No Conflict	Tree protection barrier.
444	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44		29	15	1.74	2.9	Poor	Poor										Remove	Conflict with play area	
445	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44		37	15	2.22	3.7	Poor	Poor										Remove	Conflict with play area	
446	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	Wildlife	30	10	1.8	3	Dead	Poor										Remove	Dead/dying tree	
447	Alnus rubra	Red alder	CNV		32	15	1.92	3.2	Dying	/										Remove	Conflict with site access	
448	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44		70	15	4.2	7	Poor	Poor										Remove	Conflict with play area	
449	Acer macrophyllum	Bigleaf maple	SD44		40	20	2.4	4	Good	Good										Remove	Conflict with site access	
450	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44		40	15	2.4	4	Poor	Poor										Remove	Conflict with play area	
451	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44		50	15	3	5	Dying	Poor										Remove	Conflict with play area	
452	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44		65	20	3.9	6.5	Fair	Poor										Remove	Conflict with site access	
453	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44		60	30	3.6	6	Good	Poor			_							Remove	Conflict with PMT	
454	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44		57	30	3.42	5.7	Fair	Poor										Remove	Conflict with site access	
455	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44		32	15	1.92	3.2	Poor	Poor										Remove	Conflict with site access	
456	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	CNV		65	30	3.9	6.5	Fair	/										Remove	Conflict with site access	
457	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44		75	30	4.8	7.5	Fair	Poor										Remove	Conflict with site access	
458	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	CNV		80	30	4.8	8	Fair	/										Remove	Conflict with site access	
459	Prunus emarginata	Bitter cherry	CNV		22	20	1.32	2.2	Fair	/										Retain	No Conflict	
460	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44		35	20	2.1	3.5	Poor	Poor										Retain	No conflict	
461	Alnus rubra	Red alder	CNV		50	20	3	5	Poor	/					-					Retain	No Conflict	
462	Alnus rubra	Red alder	CNV		40	20	2.4	4	Poor	/										Retain	No Conflict	
405	Allius rubra	Red alder	SD44		50	20	2.1	5.5	Puling	/ Roor										Retain	No connict Dood/dving trop	
465	Alnus rubra	Red alder	CNV		40	20	2.4	4	Poor	/										Retain	No Conflict	
466	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	Low co Dom.	70	20	4.2	7	Poor	Poor										Retain	No Conflict	
467	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas-fir	CNV	Suppressed. Asymmetrical	45	25	2.7	4.5	Poor	/										Retain	No Conflict	
468	Prunus emarginata	Bitter cherry	CNV		22		3.6	2.2	Fair	/										Retain	No Conflict	
939	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44		60	30	3.6	6	Fair	Poor										Remove	Conflict with site access	
940	Acer macrophyllum	Bigleaf maple	SD44		40	20	2.4	4	Good	Good										Remove	Conflict with site access	
941	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44		30	20	1.8	3	Dead	Poor										Remove	Conflict with play area	
942	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44		40	20	2.4	4	Dying	Poor										Remove	Conflict with play area	
943	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44		35	20	2.1	3.5	Poor	Poor					I					Remove	Conflict with play area	
944	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44		34	20	2.04	3.4	Fair	Poor										Remove	Conflict with site access	
945	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44		40	20	2.4	4	Dying	Poor										Remove	Conflict with site access	
946	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44		24	15	1.44	2.4	Dying	Poor										Remove	Conflict with site access	
947	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44		40	20	2.4	4	Dying	Poor										Remove	Conflict with site access	
948	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44		40	20	2.4	4	Dying	Poor										Remove	Conflict with site access	
949	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44		40	20	2.4	4	Dying	Poor										Remove	Conflict with site access	
950	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	Multi stemmed	60	20	3.6	6	Dead	Poor										Remove	Conflict with site access	
951	AIRUS TUDTA	ked alder	5044		40	20	2.4	4	Dying	Poor										кеточе	Conflict with site access	
952	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44		105	20	6.3	10.5	Dying	Poor										Remove	Conflict with site access	

Tree #	Species	Common Name	Ownership	Tree Notes		Height (m)	CRZ Radius from Trunk (Structure) (x6) (m)	CRZ Radius from Trunk (Health) (x10) (m)	Condition	Suitability for Retention	Target	Location of Condition	Condition Type	Severity of Condition		Impact Likelihood	Likely Consequences	Risk Rating of Condition	Recommendation	Rationale	Prescription
953	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	3	0	20	1.8	3	Dying	Poor									Remove	Conflict with site access	
954	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	4	0	20	2.4	4	Dying	Poor									Remove	Conflict with site access	
955	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	4	0	20	2.4	4	Dying	Poor									Remove	Conflict with site access	
956	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas-fir	SD44	lvy 5	0	20	3	5	Fair	Moderate									Retain	Conflict with site access	Tree protection barrier. Arborist Oversight. Re-assess retention feasibility once grading plan reviewed.
957	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas-fir	SD44	7	3	20	4.38	7.3	Fair	Moderate									Retain	Conflict with site access	Tree protection barrier. Arborist Oversight. Re-assess retention feasibility once grading plan reviewed.
958	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas-fir	SD44	6	7	20	4.02	6.7	Fair	Moderate									Retain	Conflict with site access	Tree protection barrier. Arborist Oversight. Re-assess retention feasibility once grading plan reviewed.
959	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas-fir	SD44	6	4	20	3.84	6.4	Fair	Moderate									Retain	Conflict with site access	Tree protection barrier. Arborist Oversight. Re-assess retention feasibility once grading plan reviewed.
960	Acer macrophyllum	Bigleaf maple	SD44	11	10	25	6.6	11	Good	Moderate									Retain	No Conflict	
961	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	4	0	0	2.4	4	Fair	Poor									Retain	No conflict	
962	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	3	0	20	1.8	3	Poor	Poor					 				Retain	No conflict	
963	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	Dead top 4	0	20	2.4	4	Dying	Poor					 				Remove	Dead/dying tree	
964	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44	4	0	20	2.4	4	Good	Poor					 				Retain	No Conflict	
965	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44	4	5	20	2.7	4.5	Good	Poor					 				Retain	No Conflict	
900	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	5044	4	0	20	2.56	4.5	Good	Poor					 	-			Retain	No Conflict	
968	Acer macrophyllum	Bigleaf maple	SD44	Kretchmaria 9	0	20	5.4	9	Fair	Poor									Retain	No Conflict	Provide advanced assessment to determine likelihood of failure.
969	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	5	0	0	3	5	Fair	Poor									Retain	No Conflict	
970	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	2	3	15	1.38	2.3	Dead	Poor									Remove	Dead/dying tree	
971	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	2	4	20	1.44	2.4	Fair	Poor									Retain	No Conflict	
972	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	2	2	15	1.32	2.2	Dying	Poor									Remove	Dead/dying tree	
973	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	3	0	20	1.8	3	Poor	Poor									Remove	Conflict with play field	
974	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44	Multi stemmed 10	00	25	6	10	Good	Poor									Remove	Conflict with play field	
975	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44	4	0	20	2.4	4	Good	Poor					 				Retain	No Conflict	
976	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44	4	0	20	2.4	4	Good	Poor					 				Retain	No Conflict	
977	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44	4	0	20	2.4	4	Good	Poor					 				Retain	No Conflict	
978	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44	4	0	20	2.4	4	Good	Poor					 				Retain	No Conflict	
979	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44	4	0	20	2.4	4	Fair	Poor					 	-			Retain	Conflict with nathway	Arborist oversight
981	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44 SD44		5	20	3.9	65	Good	Poor									Retain	No Conflict	Albertst oversight
982	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44	4	0	20	2.4	4	Good	Poor									Remove	Conflict with pathway	
983	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	2	3	15	1.38	2.3	Fair	Poor									Retain	No Conflict	
984	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44	2	6	20	1.56	2.6	Good	Poor									Retain	Conflict with pathway	Arborist oversight
985	Juglans cinerea	Butternut	SD44	4	0	15	2.4	4	Excellent	Good									Retain	No Conflict	
986	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44	3	0	20	1.8	3	Good	Poor						[Retain	No Conflict	
987	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44	4	0	20	2.4	4	Good	Poor					 				Remove	Conflict with pathway	
988	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44	5	0	20	3	5	Good	Poor					 				Remove	Conflict with pathway	
989	AIRUS TUDIO Populus trichosses	Red alder	SD44	8	U	20	4.8	8	Poor	Poor		I		<u>├</u> ───┤──					Remove	Conflict with pathway	
990	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44	4	0	20	2.4	4	Good	Poor					 				Remove	Conflict with pathway	
991	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	5044	4	5	20	2.4	2 5	Good	Poor					 	-			Remove	Conflict with pathway	
993	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	SD44 SD44	4	0	20	2.1	4	Good	Poor									Retain	Conflict with pathway	Arborist oversight
994	Jualans cinerea	Butternut	SD44	2	9	15	1.74	2.9	Good	Good									Retain	No Conflict	
995	Pinus nigra	Austrian pine	SD44	5	2	20	3.12	5.2	Fair	Moderate									Retain	No Conflict	
996	Fagus sylvatica	European beech	SD44	5	5	20	3.3	5.5	Good	Good									Retain	No Conflict	
997	Pinus nigra	Austrian pine	SD44	4	0	20	2.4	4	Fair	Moderate							-		Retain	No Conflict	
998	Alnus rubra	Red alder	SD44	3	4	15	2.04	3.4	Fair	Poor						[Retain	No Conflict	
999	Pinus nigra	Austrian pine	SD44	5	5	20	3.3	5.5	Fair	Moderate		I							Retain	No Conflict	
1000	Fagus sylvatica	European beech	SD44	3	/	20	2.22	3.7	Good	Good									Retain	No Conflict	
H1	i nuja plicata Thuia plicata	western redcedar		Hedge	25		1.5	2.5	Fair	/		<u> </u>			 _				Retain	No Conflict	
H11	Thuja plicata	Western redcedar	CNV	Hedge A	.0		2.4	4	Fair	/	-				_	-+			Retain	No Conflict	
H12	Thuia plicata	Western redcedar	CNV	Hedge 3	0		1.8	3	Fair	/	-	1				-+			Retain	No Conflict	
H13	Thuja plicata	Western redcedar	CNV	Hedge 4	0		2.4	4	Fair	,				1 1		-			Retain	No Conflict	
H14	Thuja plicata	Western redcedar	CNV	Hedge 4	0		2.4	4	Fair	. /		1	İ	1		- †			Retain	No Conflict	İ -
H15	Thuja plicata	Western redcedar	CNV	Hedge 4	5		2.7	4.5	Fair	/									Retain	No Conflict	

Tree #	Species	Comnon Name	Ownership	Tree Notes	Diameter (cm)	Height (m)	CRZ Radius from Trunk (Structure) (x6) (m)	CRZ Radius from Trunk (Health) (x10) (m)	Condition	Suitability for Retention	Target	Location of Condition	Condition Type	Severity of Condition	Zone Occ. Move Restrict	Failure Probability	Impact Likelihood	Likely Consequences	Risk Rating of Condition	Recommendation	Rationale	Prescription
H16	Thuja plicata	Western redcedar	CNV	Hedge	50		3	5	Fair	/										Retain	No Conflict	
H2	Thuja plicata	Western redcedar	CNV	Hedge	25		1.5	2.5	Fair	/										Retain	No Conflict	
H3	Thuja plicata	Western redcedar	CNV	Hedge	30		1.8	3	Fair	/										Retain	No Conflict	
H4	Thuja plicata	Western redcedar	CNV	Hedge	30		1.8	3	Fair	/										Remove	Conflict with pathway	
H5	Thuja plicata	Western redcedar	CNV	Hedge	30		1.8	3	Fair	/										Remove	Conflict with pathway	
H6	Thuja plicata	Western redcedar	CNV	Hedge	25		1.5	2.5	Fair	/										Retain	Conflict with pathway	Arborist oversight
H7	Thuja plicata	Western redcedar	CNV	Hedge	40		2.4	4	Fair	/	-						_			Retain	Conflict with pathway	Arborist oversight
H8	Thuja plicata	Western redcedar	CNV	Hedge	20		1.2	2	Fair	/										Retain	No Conflict	
H9	Thuja plicata	Western redcedar	CNV	Hedge	30		1.8	3	Fair	1	_						_			Retain	No Conflict	



(LEG)	Tree #	S p ecies	Diameter (cm)	Tree #	Species	Diameter (cm)
1	401	Alnus rubra	28	948	Alnus rubro	40
	402	Alnus rubra	41	949	Alnas rubro	40
	403	Acer macrophyllum	2D	950	Alnus rubro	60
	404	Acer macrophyllum	22	951	Alnus rubro	40
	405	Acer macrophyllum	22	952	Alnas rubro	105
	406	Acer macrophyllum	24	953	Alnus rubra	30
	407	Acer macrophyllum	22	954	Alnus rubro	40
	408	Acer macrophyllum	22	955	Alnus rubro	40
	409	Acer macrophyllum	48	956	Pseudotsuga menziesii	50
	410	Alnus rubra	34	957	Pseudotsuga menziesii	73
	411	Prunus emarginata	36	958	Pseudotsuga menziesii	67
	412	Prunus emarginata	2D	959	Pseudotsuga menziesii	64
	413	Populus trichocarpa	72	960	Acer macrophyllum	110
_	414	Populus trichocorpa	71	961	Alnus rubro	40
	415	Alnus rubro	40	962	Alnas rubro	30
_	416	Populus trichocorpa	75	963	Alnus rubro	40
_	417	Prunus emarginato	26	964	Populus trichocarpa	40
_	418	Prunus emarginata	23	965	Populus trichocarpa	45
	419	Acer macrophyllum	25	965	Populus trichocarpa	45
_	420	Acer macrophyllum	50	967	Assa mastanbullum	40
-	421	Acer macrophynum	33	968	Aler notrophynan	<u> </u>
-	422	Alout athra	2.5	909	Almos rubro	22
_	423	Panufut trichocorna	60	970	Alous cubro	23
-	425	Alous rubra	43	977	Alnus rubro	>>
-	425	Ates massachullum	30	973	Alous cubro	30
-	427	Prunus emacoinato	22	974	Populus trichocaroa	100
-	428	Alnus tubra	30	975	Populus trichocaroa	40
	429	Alnus rubra	35	976	Populus trichocaroa	40
	430	Prunus emarginato	25	977	Populus trichocarpa	40
	431	Prunus emarginata	29	978	Populus trichocarpa	40
	432	Alnus rubra	32	979	Populus trichocarpa	40
	433	Acer macrophyllum	29	980	Populus trichocarpa	40
	434	Alnus rubro	30	981	Populus trichocarpa	65
	435	Acer macrophyllum	29	982	Populus trichocarpa	40
	436	Acer macrophyllum	32	983	Alnus rubro	23
	437	Populus trichocorpa	85	984	Populus trichocarpa	26
	438	Alnus rubra	50	985	Juglans cinerea	40
	439	Prunus emarginata	32	986	Populus trichocarpa	30
;	440	Alnus rubra	35	987	Populus trichocarpa	40
	441	Alnus rubra	35	988	Populus trichocarpa	50
	442	Alnus rubra	70	989	Alnus rubra	80
_	443	Acer macrophyllum	32	990	Populus trichocarpa	40
	444	Alnus rabro	29	991	Populus trichocarpa	40
_	445	Alnus rubra	37	992	Populus trichocarpa	- 35
	446	Alnus rubro	30	993	Populus trichocarpo	40
_	447	Almus rubra	32	994	Jugians cinereo	
	445	Annos raoro	10	993	Finus nigro	54
	450	Alnus mbra	40	447	Pinus ninto	40
_	450	Alnus rubra	50	998	Alous cubra	
_	457	Poquius trichocorpa	65	999	Pinus niara	55
	453	Populus trichocorpa	60	1000	Faaus sylvatica	37
	454	Populus trichocarpa	57	H1	Thuia olicata	25
	455	Alnus rubra	32	H10	Thuja plicata	40
	456	Populus trichocarpa	65	H11	Thuja plicata	40
	457	Populus trichocorpa	75	H12	Thuja plicata	30
	458	Populus trichocarpa	80	H13	Thuja plicata	40
	459	Prunus emarginato	22	H14	Thuja plicata	40
	460	Alnus rubra	35	H15	Thuja plicata	45
	461	Alnus rubra	50	H16	Thuja plicata	50
	46Z	Alnus rubra	4D	H2	Thuja plicata	25
	463	Alnus rabro	35	нз	Thuja plicata	30
	464	Alnus rubra	5D	H4	Thuja plicata	30
	465	Alnos rabro	40	H5	Thuja plicata	30
	466	Alnus rubra	70	H6	Thuja plicata	25
	467	Pseudotsuga menziesii	45	H7	Thuja plicata	40
	468	Prunus emarginata	22	H8	Thuja plicata	20
	939	Populus trichocarpa	60	H9	inuja plicata	30
	940	Acer macrophyllum	40			
_	941	Amus rubra	30			
_	942	Amus rubra	41/	1		
_	943	Annus rubro	35	1		
_	944	Alous rubra	34			
_	945 046	Alous adva	740	1		
	947	Alnus rubra	ΔΩ	1		
			L 79 .			



cmj	lree #	s pe cies
3	401	Alnus rubra
-	402	Alnus rabra
	403	Acer macrophyllum
	404	Acer macrophyllum
	405	Acer macrophyllum
	406	Acer macrophyllum
	407	Acer macrophyllum
	408	Acer macrophyllum
_	410	Alnus rubra
	411	Prunus emarginata
	412	Prunus emarginata
	413	Populus trichocarpa
	414	Populus trichocorpa
	415	Alnus ruoro Populus trichocorna
_	417	Prunus emarginato
	418	Prunus emarginata
	419	Acer macrophyllum
	420	Acer macrophyllum
	421	Acer macrophyllum
_	423	Alnus rubra
	424	Populus trichocorpa
	425	Alnus rubra
	426	Acer macrophyllum
	427	Prunus emarginata
	429	Alnus rubra
	430	Prunus emarginato
	431	Prunus emarginata
	432	Alnus rubro
	434	Alpus rubra
	435	Acer macrophyllum
	436	Acer macrophyllum
	437	Populus trichocorpa
	439	Prunus radra
3	440	Alnus rubra
	441	Alnus rubra
	442	Alnus rubra
-	445	Alpus rubra
	445	Alnus rubra
	446	Alnus rabra
	447	Alnus rubra
	448	Alnus rubra
	450	Alnus rubra
	451	Alnus rubra
	452	Populus trichocarpa
_	453	Populus trichocorpa
_	455	Alnus rubra
	456	Populus trichocarpa
	457	Populus trichocorpa
	458	Populus trichocarpa
	459	Prunus emarginato Alnus rubra
	461	Alnus rubra
	46Z	Alnus rubra
	463	Alnus rubro
	465	Alnus rabra
	466	Alnus rubra
	467	Pseudotsuga menzie
	468	Prunus emarginata
	940	Acer mattachullum
	941	Alnus rubra
	942	Alnus rubra
	943	Alnus rubra
_	944	Albus rubra
	946	Alous rubra
	947	Alnus rubra



cmj	lree #	s pe cies
3	401	Alnus rubra
-	402	Alnus rabra
	403	Acer macrophyllum
	404	Acer macrophyllum
	405	Acer macrophyllum
	406	Acer macrophyllum
	407	Acer macrophyllum
	408	Acer macrophyllum
_	410	Alnus rubra
	411	Prunus emarginata
	412	Prunus emarginata
	413	Populus trichocarpa
	414	Populus trichocorpa
	415	Alnus ruoro Populus trichocorna
_	417	Prunus emarginato
	418	Prunus emarginata
	419	Acer macrophyllum
	420	Acer macrophyllum
	421	Acer macrophyllum
_	423	Alnus rubra
	424	Populus trichocorpa
	425	Alnus rubra
	426	Acer macrophyllum
	427	Prunus emarginata
	429	Alnus rubra
	430	Prunus emarginato
	431	Prunus emarginata
	432	Alnus rubro
	434	Alpus rubra
	435	Acer macrophyllum
	436	Acer macrophyllum
	437	Populus trichocorpa
	439	Prunus radra
3	440	Alnus rubra
	441	Alnus rubra
	442	Alnus rubra
-	445	Alpus rubra
	445	Alnus rubra
	446	Alnus rabra
	447	Alnus rubra
	448	Alnus rubra
	450	Alnus rubra
	451	Alnus rubra
	452	Populus trichocarpa
_	453	Populus trichocorpa
_	455	Alnus rubra
	456	Populus trichocarpa
	457	Populus trichocorpa
	458	Populus trichocarpa
	459	Prunus emarginato Alnus rubra
	461	Alnus rubra
	46Z	Alnus rubra
	463	Alnus rubro
	465	Alnus rabra
	466	Alnus rubra
	467	Pseudotsuga menzie
	468	Prunus emarginata
	940	Acer mattachullum
	941	Alnus rubra
	942	Alnus rubra
	943	Alnus rubra
_	944	Albus rubra
	946	Alous rubra
	947	Alnus rubra





Assessing the suitability for retention of inventoried trees (categorized as good, moderate, or poor) within the development context is crucial. It guides decisions on tree preservation, ensuring the conservation of valuable species, maintaining biodiversity, and aligning with project goals. Quantity and species diversity in each category inform sustainable development practices, striking a balance between progress and environmental stewardship.

When a tree has "good suitability for retention" on a development site, it implies that the tree possesses characteristics, such as robust health, structural integrity, and compatibility with the development's layout, which make it a prime candidate for preservation. Retaining such trees can enhance biodiversity, aesthetics, and

	Quantity	Tag Number
	2	332, 333
	11	403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 435, 436, 443, 449, 940
	2	346, 347
	1	308
	4	320, 321, 322, 329
	2	996, 1000
3'	1	350
	3	68, 985, 994
	1	330
ı'	1	336
Total		28

When a tree exhibits "moderate suitability for retention" on a development site, it suggests that while the tree has some value and potential for preservation, it may have moderate health, structural, or compatibility issues. Careful evaluation is needed to determine if retention is feasible, weighing its benefits against

	Quantity	Tag Number
	7	397, 409, 419, 420, 421,426, 960
	2	316, 345
	6	302, 303, 304, 306, 307, 309
	1	84
	9	341, 342, 343, 344, 348, 349, 995, 997, 999
	1	422
	1	318
	4	956, 957, 958, 959
Total		31

A tree with "poor suitability for retention" on a development site indicates that the tree has significant health, structural, or compatibility issues, making its preservation impractical. Removal or replacement is likely necessary to ensure safety, meet project goals, and mitigate potential risks associated with retaining

	Quantity	Tag Number
	1	331
	2	433, 968
	1	305
	1	327
	2	325, 326
		78, 80, 81, 85, 311, 319, 398, 399, 402, 410, 423, 425, 432, 434, 438, 440, 441, 442,
	5.0	444, 445, 446, 448, 450, 451, 455, 460, 464, 466, 941, 942, 943, 944, 945, 946, 947,
	54	948, 949, 950, 951, 952, 953, 954, 955, 961, 962, 963, 969, 970, 971, 972, 973, 983,
		989, 998
	1	67
	1	310
	1	328
	1	317
		69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 79, 82, 83, 86, 312, 313, 437, 452, 453, 454, 457,
	42	939, 964, 965, 966, 967, 974, 975, 976, 977, 978, 979, 980, 981, 982, 984, 986, 987,
		988, 990, 991, 992, 993
	3	411, 427, 439
an' Top Graft	4	337, 338, 339, 340
	2	334, 335
Total		116



Assessing the suitability for retention of inventoried trees (categorized as good, moderate, or poor) within the development context is crucial. It guides decisions on tree preservation, ensuring the conservation of valuable species, maintaining biodiversity, and aligning with project goals. Quantity and species diversity in each category inform sustainable development practices, striking a balance between progress and environmental stewardship.

When a tree has "good suitability for retention" on a development site, it implies that the tree possesses characteristics, such as robust health, structural integrity, and compatibility with the development's layout, which make it a prime candidate for preservation. Retaining such trees can enhance biodiversity, aesthetics, and

	Quantity	Tag Number
	2	332, 333
	11	403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 435, 436, 443, 449, 940
	2	346, 347
	1	308
	4	320, 321, 322, 329
	2	996, 1000
3'	1	350
	3	68, 985, 994
	1	330
ı'	1	336
Total		28

When a tree exhibits "moderate suitability for retention" on a development site, it suggests that while the tree has some value and potential for preservation, it may have moderate health, structural, or compatibility issues. Careful evaluation is needed to determine if retention is feasible, weighing its benefits against

	Quantity	Tag Number
	7	397, 409, 419, 420, 421,426, 960
	2	316, 345
	6	302, 303, 304, 306, 307, 309
	1	84
	9	341, 342, 343, 344, 348, 349, 995, 997, 999
	1	422
	1	318
	4	956, 957, 958, 959
Total		31

A tree with "poor suitability for retention" on a development site indicates that the tree has significant health, structural, or compatibility issues, making its preservation impractical. Removal or replacement is likely necessary to ensure safety, meet project goals, and mitigate potential risks associated with retaining

	Quantity	Tag Number
	1	331
	2	433, 968
	1	305
	1	327
	2	325, 326
		78, 80, 81, 85, 311, 319, 398, 399, 402, 410, 423, 425, 432, 434, 438, 440, 441, 442,
	5.0	444, 445, 446, 448, 450, 451, 455, 460, 464, 466, 941, 942, 943, 944, 945, 946, 947,
	54	948, 949, 950, 951, 952, 953, 954, 955, 961, 962, 963, 969, 970, 971, 972, 973, 983,
		989, 998
	1	67
	1	310
	1	328
	1	317
		69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 79, 82, 83, 86, 312, 313, 437, 452, 453, 454, 457,
	42	939, 964, 965, 966, 967, 974, 975, 976, 977, 978, 979, 980, 981, 982, 984, 986, 987,
		988, 990, 991, 992, 993
	3	411, 427, 439
an' Top Graft	4	337, 338, 339, 340
	2	334, 335
Total		116





Suitability	Tree #	Species	Retention Suitability	Tree #	Species	Retent on Suitability
1	401	Alnus rubra	Remove	948	Alnus rubra	Remove
1	40Z	Alnus rubra	Remove	949	Alnus rubra	Remove
ve	403	Ater macrophyllum	Retain	950	Alnas rubra	Remove
ve	404	Acer mocrophyllum	Retain	951	Almas rubra	Remove
VP	406	Acer mocrophyllum	Retain	953	Alous cubro	Remove
ve	407	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	954	Alnus rubro	Remove
ve	408	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	955	Alnus rubro	Remove
ve	409	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	956	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Retain
ve	410	Alnus rubra	Remove	957	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Retain
ve	411	Prunus emarginata	Remove	958	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Retain
ve	412	Prunus emarginata	Retain	959	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Retain
ve	415	Populus trichocorpa	Retain	960	Alous rubro	Retain
ve	415	Alnus rubra	Retain	962	Alnus rubro	Retain
ve	416	Populus trichocarpa	Retain	963	Alnus rubro	Remove
1	417	Prunus emarginato	Retain	964	Populus trichocarpo	Retain
•	418	Prunus émarginato	Retain	965	Populus trichocarpo	Retain
1	419	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	966	Populus trichocarpo	Retain
•	420	Acer macrophyllum	Remove	967	Populus Irichocarpa	Retain
	472	Acer macrophynom Prupus emaraipata	Retain	969	Alter mocrophynam Alters rubro	Retain
	423	Alnus rubra	Remove	970	Alnus rubro	Remove
	424	Populus trichocorpa	Retain	971	Alnus rubro	Retain
1	425	Alnus rubra	Remove	972	Alnus rubra	Remove
1	426	Acer macrophyllum	R etain	973	Alnus rubra	Remove
۱.	427	Prunus emarginata	Retain	974	Populus trichocarpa	Remove
•	428	Alnus rubra	Remove	975	Populus trichocarpa	Retain
	429	Alnus rubro	Remove	975	Populus Irichocarpo	Retain
ve.	430	Primas emarginato Paiaus emacapata	Retain	978	Populus trichocarpo Populus trichocarpo	Retain
ve	432	Alnus rubra	Remove	979	Populus trichocarpa	Retain
ve	433	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	980	Populus trichocarpa	Retain
ve	434	Alnus rubra	Remove	981	Populus trichocarpo	Retain
1	435	Acer macrophyllum	Remove	982	Populus trichocarpa	Remove
•	436	Acer macrophyllum	Remove	983	Alnus rubro	Retain
ve	437	Populus trichocorpa	Retain	984	Populus trichocarpa	Retain
ve	436	Propus emacainata	Retain	985	Populus trichocaroa	Retain
1	440	Alnus rubra	Remove	987	Populus trichocarpa	Remove
1	441	Alnus rubra	Remove	988	Populus trichocarpa	Remove
1	442	Alnus rubra	Remove	989	Alnas rubra	Remove
•	443	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	990	Populus trichocarpo	Remove
•	444	Alnus rubra	Remove	991	Populus trichocarpo	Remove
	445	Alous subra	Remove	992	Populus trichocarpa	Remove
	440	Alous orbra	Remove	993	halans cinerea	Retain
ve	448	Ainus rubra	Remove	995	Pinus nigro	Retain
ve	449	Acer macrophyllum	Remove	996	Fagus sylvatica	Retain
ve	450	Alnus rubra	Remove	997	Pinus nigra	Retain
۱	451	Alnus rubra	Remove	998	Alnus rubra	Retain
ve	452	Populus trichocorpa	Remove	999	Pinus nigra	Retain
ate	453	ropulus trichocorpa Populus trichocorpa	Remove	1000	ragus sylvatica Thura alicato	Retain
ate ve	454	Alous mbra	Remove	ній	Thua alicata	Retain
ve	456	Populus trichocarpa	Remove	Н11	Thuja plicato	Retain
ı I	457	Populus trichocarpa	Remove	н12	Thuja plicato	Retain
1	458	Populus trichocarpa	Remove	H13	Thuja plicato	Retain
•	459	Prunus emarginato	Retain	H14	Thuja plicata	Retain
ve	460	Alnus rubra	Retain	H15	Thuja plicata	Retain
ve	461	Alnus rubra	Retain	H16	Thuja plicata	Retain
ve	463	Alous rubra	Retain	H3	Thuja piicata	Betain
1	464	Alnus rubra	Remove	H4	Thuja plicata	Remove
ve	465	Alnus rubra	Retain	H5	Thuja plicata	Remove
ve	466	Alnus rubra	Retain	Н6	Thuja plicata	Retain
	467	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Retain	H7	Thuja plicato	Retain
ve	468	Prunus emarginato	Retain	H8	Thuja plicato	Retain
	939	Populus trichocorpa	Remove	нэ	inuja plicato	Retain
-	941	Alous aubra	Remove	1		
ve	942	Alous rubra	Remove	1		
ve	943	Alous rubra	Remove	1		
	944	Alnus rubra	Remove			
ve	945	Alnus rubra	Remove			
ve	946	Alnus rubra	Remove			
۱	947	Ainus rubra	Remove			



Suitability	Tree #	Species	Retention Suitability	Tree #	Species	Retent on Suitability
1	401	Alnus rubra	Remove	948	Alnus rubra	Remove
1	40Z	Alnus rubra	Remove	949	Alnus rubra	Remove
ve	403	Ater macrophyllum	Retain	950	Alnas rubra	Remove
ve	404	Acer mocrophyllum	Retain	951	Almas rubra	Remove
VP	406	Acer mocrophyllum	Retain	953	Alous cubro	Remove
ve	407	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	954	Alnus rubro	Remove
ve	408	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	955	Alnus rubro	Remove
ve	409	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	956	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Retain
ve	410	Alnus rubra	Remove	957	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Retain
ve	411	Prunus emarginata	Remove	958	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Retain
ve	412	Prunus emarginata	Retain	959	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Retain
ve	415	Populus trichocorpa	Retain	960	Alous rubro	Retain
ve	415	Alnus rubra	Retain	962	Alnus rubro	Retain
ve	416	Populus trichocarpa	Retain	963	Alnus rubro	Remove
1	417	Prunus emarginato	Retain	964	Populus trichocarpo	Retain
•	418	Prunus émarginato	Retain	965	Populus trichocarpo	Retain
1	419	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	966	Populus trichocarpo	Retain
•	420	Acer macrophyllum	Remove	967	Populus Irichocarpa	Retain
	472	Acer macrophynom Prupus emargipata	Retain	969	Alter mocrophynam Alters rubro	Retain
	423	Alnus rubra	Remove	970	Alnus rubro	Remove
	424	Populus trichocorpa	Retain	971	Alnus rubro	Retain
1	425	Alnus rubra	Remove	972	Alnus rubra	Remove
1	426	Acer macrophyllum	R etain	973	Alnus rubra	Remove
۱.	427	Prunus emarginata	Retain	974	Populus trichocarpa	Remove
۱.	428	Alnus rubra	Remove	975	Populus trichocarpa	Retain
	429	Alnus rubro	Remove	975	Populus Irichocarpo	Retain
ve.	430	Primas emarginato Painus emacainata	Retain	978	Populus trichocarpo Populus trichocarpo	Retain
ve	432	Alnus rubra	Remove	979	Populus trichocarpa	Retain
ve	433	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	980	Populus trichocarpa	Retain
ve	434	Alnus rubra	Remove	981	Populus trichocarpo	Retain
1	435	Acer macrophyllum	Remove	982	Populus trichocarpa	Remove
•	436	Acer macrophyllum	Remove	983	Alnus rubro	Retain
ve	437	Populus trichocorpa	Retain	984	Populus trichocarpa	Retain
ve	436	Propus emacainata	Retain	985	Populus trichocaroa	Retain
1	440	Alnus rubra	Remove	987	Populus trichocarpa	Remove
1	441	Alnus rubra	Remove	988	Populus trichocarpa	Remove
1	442	Alnus rubra	Remove	989	Alnas rubra	Remove
•	443	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	990	Populus trichocarpo	Remove
•	444	Alnus rubra	Remove	991	Populus trichocarpo	Remove
	445	Alous subra	Remove	992	Populus trichocarpa	Remove
	440	Alous orbra	Remove	993	halans cinerea	Retain
ve	448	Ainus rubra	Remove	995	Pinus nigro	Retain
ve	449	Acer macrophyllum	Remove	996	Fagus sylvatica	Retain
ve	450	Alnus rubra	Remove	997	Pinus nigra	Retain
۱	451	Alnus rubra	Remove	998	Alnus rubro	Retain
ve	452	Populus trichocorpa	Remove	999	Pinus nigra	Retain
ate	453	ropulus trichocorpa Populus trichocorpa	Remove	1000	ragus sylvatica Thura alicato	Retain
ale ve	454	Alous mbra	Remove	ній	Thua alicata	Retain
ve	456	Populus trichocarpa	Remove	Н11	Thuja plicato	Retain
ı I	457	Populus trichocarpa	Remove	н12	Thuja plicato	Retain
1	458	Populus trichocarpa	Remove	H13	Thuja plicato	Retain
•	459	Prunus emarginato	Retain	H14	Thuja plicata	Retain
ve	460	Alnus rubra	Retain	H15	Thuja plicata	Retain
ve	461	Alnus rubra	Retain	H16	Thuja plicata	Retain
ve	463	Alous rubra	Retain	H3	Thuja piicata	Betain
1	464	Alnus rubra	Remove	H4	Thuja plicata	Remove
ve	465	Alnus rubra	Retain	H5	Thuja plicata	Remove
ve	466	Alnus rubra	Retain	Н6	Thuja plicata	Retain
•	467	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Retain	H7	Thuja plicato	Retain
ve	468	Prunus emarginato	Retain	H8	Thuja plicato	Retain
	939	Populus trichocorpa	Remove	нэ	inuja plicato	Retain
-	941	Alous aubra	Remove	1		
ve	942	Alous rubra	Remove	1		
ve	943	Alous rubra	Remove	1		
	944	Alnus rubra	Remove			
ve	945	Alnus rubra	Remove			
ve	946	Alnus rubra	Remove			
۱	947	Ainus rubra	Remove			




Suitability	Tree #	Species	Retention Suitability	Tree #	Species	Retention Suitability
1	401	Alnus rubra	Remove	948	Alnus rubra	Remove
1	40Z	Alnus rubra	Remove	949	Alnus rubra	Remove
ve	403	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	950	Alnas rubra	Remove
ve	404	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	951	Alnas rubra	Remove
ve	405	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	952	Alnas rubra	Remove
ve	406	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	953	Alnus rubro	Remove
ve	407	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	954	Alnus rubro	Remove
ve	408	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	955	Alnus rubro	Remove
ve	409	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	956	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Retain
ve	410	Alnus rubra	Remove	957	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Retain
ve	411	Prunus emarginata	Remove	958	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Retain
ve	412	Prunus emarginato	Retain	959	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Retain
ve	413	Populus trichocorpa	Retain	960	Acer macrophyllum	Retain
ve	414	Populus tricnocorpa	Retain	961	Almus rubra	Retain
ve	415	Ronulut trichocorna	Retain	902	Almos rubro	Remove
ve	410	Populas menocarpa	Rotain	903	Reputus tuckocarea	Retain
	417	Prunus emarginato	Ratain	904	Populus Inchocarpo	Retain
	419	Acer macroohvilum	Retain	966	Populus trichocarpo	Retain
	420	Acer macrophyllum	Remove	967	Pogulus trichocorop	Retain
	421	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	968	Acer macrophyllum	Retain
	422	Prunus emarginato	Retain	969	Alnus rubra	Retain
	423	Alous aubra	Remove	970	Ainus rubra	Remove
	424	Populus trichocorpa	Retain	971	Alnus rubro	Retain
-	425	Alnus rubra	Remove	972	Alnus rubra	Bemove
-	426	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	973	Alnus rubra	Remove
	427	Prunus emacoinato	Retain	974	Populus trichorarga	Remove
	478	Alnus rubra	Remove	975	Populus trichorarga	Retain
	429	Alnus rubra	Remove	976	Populus trichocaroa	Betain
	430	Prunus emacoinato	Retain	977	Populus trichocaroa	Retain
ve	431	Prunus emarcinato	Retain	978	Populus trichocaroa	Retain
ve	432	Alous rubra	Remove	979	Populus trichocaroa	Retain
ve	433	Acer macroohvilum	Retain	980	Populus trichocaroa	Retain
ve	434	Alnus rubra	Remove	981	Populus trichocaroa	Retain
	435	Acer macrophyllum	Remove	982	Populus trichocarpa	Remove
	436	Acer macrophyllum	Remove	983	Alnus rubro	Retain
ve	437	Populus trichocorpa	Retain	984	Populus trichocarpa	Retain
ve	438	Alnus rubra	Remove	985	Juglans cinerea	Retain
ve	439	Prunus emarginata	Retain	986	Populus trichocarpa	Retain
	440	Alnus rubra	Remove	987	Populus trichocarpa	Remove
1	441	Alnus rubra	Remove	988	Populus trichocarpa	Remove
•	442	Alnus rubro	Remove	989	Alnas rubra	Remove
•	443	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	990	Populus trichocarpo	Remove
•	444	Alnus rubra	Remove	991	Populus trichocarpo	Remove
•	445	Alnus rubra	Remove	992	Populus trichocarpa	Remove
•	446	Alnus rubra	Remove	993	Populus trichocarpa	Retain
ve	447	Alous rubra	Remove	994	Juglans cinereo	Retain
ve	448	Alnus rubra	Remove	995	Pinus nigra	Retain
ve	449	Acer macrophyllum	Remove	996	Fagus sylvotica	Retain
ve	450	Alnus rubra	Remove	997	Pinus nigra	Retain
•	451	Alnus rubra	Remove	998	Alnus rubra	Retain
ve	452	Populus trichocorpa	Remove	999	Pinus nigra	Retain
ate	453	Populus trichocorpa	Remove	1000	Fagus sylvatica	Retain
ate	454	Populus trichocarpa	Remove	ні	Thuja plicato	Retain
ve	455	Ainus rubra	Remove	H10	Thuja plicato	Retain
ve	456	Populus trichocarpa	Remove	н11	Thuja plicato	Retain
•	457	Populus trichocarpa	Remove	H12	Thuja plicato	Retain
•	458	Populus trichocarpa	Remove	H13	Thuja plicata	Retain
•	459	Prunus emarginato	Retain	H14	Thuja plicato	Retain
ve	460	Alnus rubra	Retain	H15	Thuja plicata	Retain
ve	461	Alnus rubra	Retain	H16	Thuja plicata	Retain
	462	Amus rubra	Retain	HZ UP	inuja piicata	Retain
ve	463	Alnus rubra	Retain	нз	Thuja piicata	кетал
	464	Annus rubra	Remove	114 LUE	Thuia picata	Remove
ve	465	Annus rubra	Retain	115 116	muja pricata	Remove
ve	466	Amus rubra	Retain	110	Thur dicate	netain
	40/	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Actain Bot	п/ Це	Thus alicate	Petter
ve	468	Provius emarginato	летаіп	118	maja pricata	rretain Dute
•	939	ropulus trichocarpa	nemove	нэ	inuja piicata	retain
	940	Acer macrophynum	Remove	{		
		Autos Tuara	vernove	1		
	941	diaa.a.b.a	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
ve	941 942	Alnus rubra	Remove			
ve ve	941 942 943	Alnus rubra Alnus rubra Maus subra	Remove			
ve ve	941 942 943 944	Alnus rubra Alnus rubra Alnus rubra Alnus rubra	Remove Remove Remove			
ve ve ve	941 942 943 944 945 945	Alnus rubra Alnus rubra Alnus rubra Alnus rubra	Remove Remove Remove Remove			
ve ve ve ve	941 942 943 944 945 946 947	Alnus rubra Alnus rubra Alnus rubra Alnus rubra Alnus rubra Alnus rubra	Remove Remove Remove Remove Remove			



Suitability	Tree #	Species	Retention Suitability	Tree #	Species	Retent on Suitability
1	401	Alnus rubra	Remove	948	Alnus rubra	Remove
1	40Z	Alnus rubra	Remove	949	Alnus rubra	Remove
ve	403	Ater macrophyllum	Retain	950	Alnas rubra	Remove
ve	404	Acer mocrophyllum	Retain	971	Almas rubra	Remove
VP	406	Acer mocrophyllum	Retain	953	Alous cubro	Remove
ve	407	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	954	Alnus rubro	Remove
ve	408	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	955	Alnus rubro	Remove
ve	409	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	956	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Retain
ve	410	Alnus rubra	Remove	957	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Retain
ve	411	Prunus emarginata	Remove	958	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Retain
ve	412	Prunus emarginata	Retain	959	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Retain
ve	415	Populus trichocorpa	Retain	960	Alous rubro	Retain
ve	415	Alnus rubra	Retain	962	Alnus rubro	Retain
ve	416	Populus trichocarpa	Retain	963	Alnus rubro	Remove
1	417	Prunus emarginato	Retain	964	Populus trichocarpo	Retain
•	418	Prunus émarginato	Retain	965	Populus trichocarpo	Retain
1	419	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	966	Populus trichocarpo	Retain
•	420	Acer macrophyllum	Remove	967	Populus Irichocarpa	Retain
	472	Acer macrophynom Prupus emargipata	Retain	969	Alter mocrophynam Alters rubro	Retain
	423	Alnus rubra	Remove	970	Alnus rubro	Remove
	424	Populus trichocorpa	Retain	971	Alnus rubro	Retain
1	425	Alnus rubra	Remove	972	Alnus rubra	Remove
1	426	Acer macrophyllum	R etain	973	Alnus rubra	Remove
۱.	427	Prunus emarginata	Retain	974	Populus trichocarpa	Remove
•	428	Alnus rubra	Remove	975	Populus trichocarpa	Retain
	429	Alnus rubro	Remove	975	Populus Irichocarpo	Retain
ve.	430	Primas emarginato Painus emacainata	Retain	978	Populus trichocarpo Populus trichocarpo	Retain
ve	432	Alnus rubra	Remove	979	Populus trichocarpa	Retain
ve	433	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	980	Populus trichocarpa	Retain
ve	434	Alnus rubra	Remove	981	Populus trichocarpo	Retain
1	435	Acer macrophyllum	Remove	982	Populus trichocarpa	Remove
•	436	Acer macrophyllum	Remove	983	Alnus rubro	Retain
ve	437	Populus trichocorpa	Retain	984	Populus trichocarpa	Retain
ve	436	Propus emacainata	Retain	985	Populus trichocaroa	Retain
1	440	Alnus rubra	Remove	987	Populus trichocarpa	Remove
1	441	Alnus rubra	Remove	988	Populus trichocarpa	Remove
1	442	Alnus rubra	Remove	989	Alnas rubra	Remove
•	443	Acer macrophyllum	Retain	990	Populus trichocarpo	Remove
•	444	Alnus rubra	Remove	991	Populus trichocarpo	Remove
1	445	Albus rubra	Remove	992	Populus trichocorpo	Remove
	440	Alous orbra	Remove	993	halans cinerea	Retain
ve	448	Ainus rubra	Remove	995	Pinus nigro	Retain
ve	449	Acer macrophyllum	Remove	996	Fagus sylvatica	Retain
ve	450	Alnus rubra	Remove	997	Pinus nigra	Retain
۱	451	Alnus rubra	Remove	998	Alnus rubra	Retain
ve	452	Populus trichocorpa	Remove	999	Pinus nigra	Retain
ate	453	ropulus trichocorpa Populus trichocorpa	Remove	1000	ragus sylvatica Thura alicato	Retain
ate ve	454	Alous mbra	Remove	ній	Thua alicata	Retain
ve	456	Populus trichocarpa	Remove	Н11	Thuja plicato	Retain
ı I	457	Populus trichocarpa	Remove	н12	Thuja plicato	Retain
1	458	Populus trichocarpa	Remove	H13	Thuja plicato	Retain
•	459	Prunus emarginato	Retain	H14	Thuja plicata	Retain
ve	460	Alnus rubra	Retain	H15	Thuja plicata	Retain
ve	461	Alnus rubra	Retain	H16	Thuja plicata	Retain
ve	463	Alous rubra	Retain	H3	Thuja piicata	Betain
1	464	Alnus rubra	Remove	H4	Thuja plicata	Remove
ve	465	Alnus rubra	Retain	H5	Thuja plicata	Remove
ve	466	Alnus rubra	Retain	Н6	Thuja plicata	Retain
	467	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Retain	H7	Thuja plicato	Retain
ve	468	Prunus emarginato	Retain	H8	Thuja plicato	Retain
	939	Populus trichocorpa	Remove	нэ	inuja plicato	Retain
	941	Alous rubra	Remove	1		
ve	942	Alnus rubra	Remove	1		
ve	943	Alous rubra	Remove	1		
	944	Alnus rubra	Remove			
ve	945	Alnus rubra	Remove			
ve	946	Alnus rubra	Remove			
۱	947	Ainus rubra	Remove			





Qualitative Tree Risk Assessment Guidelines

Qualitative risk assessment is the process of using ratings of the likelihood and consequences of an event to determine a risk level and evaluate the level of risk against qualitative criteria.

This matrix is used to estimate the likelihood of a tree failure impacting a specified target						
Likelihood of	Likelihood of Impact					
Failure	Very Low	Low	Medium	High		
Imminent	Unlikely	Somewhat likely	Likely	Very likely		
Probable	Unlikely	Unlikely	Somewhat likely	Likely		
Possible	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Somewhat likely		
Improbable	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely		

Likelihood of Failure

Improbable – the tree or tree part is not likely to fail during normal weather conditions and may not fail in extreme weather conditions within the specified time frame.

Possible – failure may be expected in extreme weather conditions, but it is unlikely during normal weather conditions within the specified time frame.

Probable – failure may be expected under normal weather conditions within the specified time frame.

Imminent – failure has started or is most likely to occur in the near future, even if there is no significant wind or increased load. This is an infrequent occurrence for a risk assessor to encounter, and it may require immediate action to protect people from harm. The imminent category overrides the stated time frame.

Likelihood of Impact

Very low – the chance of the failed tree or tree part impacting the specified target is remote. Likelihood of impact could be very low if the target is outside the anticipated target zone or if occupancy rates are rare. Another example of very low likelihood of impact is people in an occasionally used area with protection against being struck by the tree failure due to the presence of other trees or structures between the tree being assessed and the targets.

Low – there is a slight chance that the failed tree or tree part will impact the target. This is the case for people in an occasionally used area with no protection factors and no predictable direction of fall, a frequently used area that is partially protected, or a constant target that is well protected from the assessed tree. Examples are vehicles on an occasionally used service road next to the assessed tree, or a frequently used street that has a large tree providing protection between vehicles on the street and the assessed tree.

Medium – the failed tree or tree part could impact the target, but is not expected to do so. This is the case for people in a frequently used area when the direction of fall may or may not be toward the target. An example of a medium likelihood of impacting people could be passengers in a car traveling on an arterial street (frequent occupancy) next to the assessed tree with a large, dead branch over the street.

High – the failed tree or tree part is likely to impact the target. This is the case when there is a constant target with no protection factors, and the direction of fall is toward the target.

Risk rating matrix showing the *level of risk as the combination of likelihood of a tree failing and impacting a specified target, and severity of the associated consequences.*

Likelihood of	Consequences of Failure				
Failure and Impact	Negligible	Minor	Significant	Severe	
Very likely	Low	Moderate	High	Extreme	
Likely	Low	Moderate	High	High	
Somewhat likely	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate	
Unlikely	Low	Low	Low	Low	

Consequences of Failure

Negligible – no personal injury, low-value property damage, or disruptions that can be replaced or repaired.

Minor – minor personal injury, low-to-moderate value property damage, or small disruption of activities.

Significant – substantial personal injury, moderate- to high-value property damage, or considerable disruption of activities.

Severe – serious personal injury or death, high-value property damage, or major disruption of important activities.

Overall Tree Risk Rating

Low – some trees with this level of risk may benefit from mitigation and maintenance measures, but immediate action is not usually required. Tree risk assessors may recommend retaining and monitoring these trees, as well as mitigation that does not include removal of the tree.

Moderate – the tree risk assessor may recommend mitigation and/or retaining and monitoring. The decision for mitigation and timing of treatment depends upon the risk tolerance of the tree owner or manager.

High – tree risk assessor should recommend mitigation measures be taken as soon as is practical. The decision for mitigation and timing of treatment depends upon the risk tolerance of the tree owner or risk manager.

Extreme – tree risk assessor should recommend that mitigation measures be taken as soon as possible. In some cases, this may mean immediate restriction of access to the target zone area to avoid personal injury.

Limitations of this Assessment

It is BC Plant Health Care Inc.'s policy to attach the following clause regarding limitations. We do this to ensure that developers or owners are clearly aware of what is technically and professionally realistic in retaining trees.

The assessment of the trees presented in this report has been made using accepted arboricultural techniques. These include a visual examination of the above-ground parts of each tree for structural defects, scars, external indications of decay such as fungal fruiting bodies, evidence of insect attack, discolored foliage, the condition of any visible root structures, the degree and direction of lean (if any), the general condition of the tree(s) and the surrounding site, and the proximity of property and people. Except where specifically noted in the report, none of the trees examined were dissected, cored, probed, or climbed, and detailed root crown examinations involving excavation were not undertaken.

Notwithstanding the recommendations and conclusions made in this report, it must be raised that trees are living organisms, and their health and vigor constantly change over time. They are not immune to changes in site conditions, or seasonal variations in the weather conditions.

While reasonable efforts have been made to ensure that the trees recommended for retention are healthy, no guarantees are offered, or implied, that these trees, or any parts of them, will remain standing. It is both professionally and practically impossible to predict with absolute certainty the behavior of any single tree or group of trees or their component parts in all circumstances. Inevitably, a standing tree will always pose some risk. Most trees have the potential for failure in the event of adverse weather conditions, and this risk can only be eliminated if the tree is removed.

Although every effort has been made to ensure that this assessment is reasonably accurate, the trees should be re-assessed periodically. The assessment presented in this report is valid at the time of inspection.